

**Schedule of All Changes  
Issued by Nottingham City Council  
(DRAFT)  
7 December 2018**



**Nottingham  
City Council**

Colour Key	Submission Change	Additional Post Submission Change	Proposed Post Submission Change	Examination Change			
Change No	Type of Change	Ref	Main Section	Policy /Site	Page of Submission Doc	Agreed Changes	Main Mod or Other Mod
1	Additional Post Submission Change		Front Cover		0	Front cover amended to read: " <del>Submission Version March 2018</del> " " <u>Adopted Version XXXX 2019</u> "	OM
2	Additional Post Submission Change		Quick Guide Inside Cover		0	"Quick guide to the Submission Version of the Land and Planning Policies (LAPP) Document (Local Plan Part 2)  Purpose of this document:  This document forms part of the Local Plan for Nottingham City along with the Core Strategy which guides development in Nottingham City. This document contains policies and site allocations against which future development proposals in Nottingham City will be determined. <del>It shows further proposed changes as tracked changes to the Revised Publication Version, September 2017 with additions in blue underline and deletions in red strikethrough.</del>  This document will now be submitted for independent examination, where its soundness will be tested."	OM
3	Additional Post Submission Change		Contents page		0	Policy added to contents page " <u>Policy IN4: Developer Contributions</u> " and page numbers when known " <u>Policy HO7: Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople</u> "  Contents page as a whole to be updated as required.	OM
4	Examination Change	3	Introduction		2	Amend para 1.5 to read;  "1.5 Within Nottingham, the Local Plan comprises <del>two Development Plan Documents</del> <u>the following plans</u> :  • Local Plan Part 1: The Broxtowe Borough, Gedling Borough and Nottingham City Aligned Core Strategies ('the Core Strategy'), adopted September 2014: which sets out the overarching strategic planning policy framework. It contains a spatial vision, spatial objectives and core policies for the Greater Nottingham area (please refer to Section 2 for further details);  • Local Plan Part 2: The Land and Planning Policies Development Plan Document (LAPP): which sets out the site allocations and Development Management policies, in accordance with the policies and vision of the Core Strategy.  • <u>Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Waste Core Strategy: adopted in 2005 sets out the overall approach to future waste management: this will be replaced by the new Waste Local Plan the preparation of which is due to commence in 2019</u>  • <u>Neighbourhood Plans: in accordance with Localism Act 2011 Neighbourhood Plans set out planning policies for a neighbourhood area. Should any be prepared they will come into force as part of the statutory Development Plan after succeeding at referendum.</u>  A revised diagram will also be added to the document, after paragraph 1.6, to clearly show the relationship of these 4 documents.	MM

5	Additional Post Submission Change		Introduction		2	Para 1.2 amended to read: <del>"The consultation on the Revised Publication version of the LAPP will run from Friday 29 September until 5pm Friday 10 November and comments on any proposed changes are now invited. Response forms and copies of the Adopted version of the LAPP and all supporting documents can be viewed at: .....www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/submissionlocalplan....."</del>	OM
6	Additional Post Submission Change		Introduction		2	Para 1.1 amended to read: "1.1 The Land and Planning Policies Document (LAPP) is the second part of the Nottingham City Local Plan and contains both Development Management planning policies and site specific land allocations. It sits alongside the Core Strategy, which forms the first part of the Nottingham City Local Plan, and these two documents together with the Waste Core Strategy and any neighbourhood plans form the statutory Development Plan for Nottingham. <u>The LAPP replaces the Saved Policies from the previous 2005 Local Plan.</u> "	OM
7	Additional Post Submission Change		Background		10	Replace n/a with relevant revised Gypsy and Traveller Policy	OM
8	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC13	Background		11	Table 1 of the LAPP, to include Policy SA1 as the last line under the "Making it Happen" theme:  <b>Core Strategy Policies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 2: The Spatial Strategy</li> <li>• Policy 4: Employment Provision and Economic Development</li> <li>• Policy 5 Nottingham City Centre</li> <li>• Policy 6: Role of Town and Local Centres</li> </ul> <b>LAPP Policies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SA1: Site Allocations</li> </ul>	OM
9	Submission Change	SC006	Background		11	Title of policy amended to read;  "MI1 Mineral Safeguarding <b>Areas</b> "	OM
10	Additional Post Submission Change		Background		12	Para 2.10 amended to read: "..there are <b>74</b> site allocations."	OM
11	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC12	Background		12	"2.16 In addition to the policies and allocations contained within the Core Strategy which are all considered "strategic", the City Council proposes that <del>all the majority of the policies, and all the allocations and designations within the LAPP</del> are also considered "strategic" for the purposes of Neighbourhood Planning. <u>The policies have been considered in the light of para 184 and 185 of the 2012 NPPF, and screened against the strategic priorities at para 156. It has been concluded that the following are not strategic for Neighbourhood Planning Purposes:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy SH5: Independent Retail Clusters</li> <li>• Policy DE5: Shopfronts</li> <li>• Policy DE6: Advertisements</li> <li>• Policy LS4: Public Houses outside the City Centre and/or designated as an Asset of Community Value</li> <li>• Policy LS5: Community Facilities</li> </ul> <del>2.16a This is owing to the following reasons. The justification for strategic policies is as follows:</del> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The LAPP contains a number of Development Management Policies which will provide the context for the consideration of development proposals across the City. In order to facilitate consistent implementation it is proposed that all Development Management Policies are regarded as 'strategic', and any Neighbourhood Plan policies should develop the Local Plan policies in a local context, rather than replace them.</li> <li>• Owing to the City's tightly....."</li> </ul>	OM
12	Additional Post Submission Change		Background		13	Para 2.18 amended to read: "A Policies Map <del>will</del> <u>has been</u> prepared to accompany the adopted LAPP. This <del>will</del> <u>shows</u> the spatial definition of the site allocations and includes the spatial extent of features referred to in the Development Management Policies. The accompanying Policies Map from <del>the Revised Publication Stage</del> is available on the City Council's website. <del>A Policies Map Amendments Schedule has been prepared for the Submission version of the LAPP Revised Publication Stage to show updates made following the Revised Publication Consultation Stage. This document is available on the City Council's website and from the Planning Policy and Research Team using the contact details given in paragraph 1.2. The Policies Map Amendments Schedule should be viewed alongside the Policies Map from the Revised Publication Stage.</del> "	OM

13	Additional Post Submission Change		Background		14	<p>Remove Subheading and para 2.23 and 2.24</p> <p><del>Preparation Timetable for the LAPP</del></p> <p>Para 2.23 deleted as follows: (Submission Change SC009)  <del>"This consultation document seeks views on the proposed changes to this document. Comments received will be taken forward to a public examination carried out by an independent planning inspector."</del></p> <p>Para 2.24 deleted as follows:  <del>"The remaining timetable for the production of the LAPP is as follows:"</del></p> <p>Subsequent Table deleted in its entirety.</p>	OM
14	Examination Change	1	Background		15	<p>Amend text to read</p> <p><del>"2.32 The Habitats Directive and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 require that Local Plans undergo an assessment to determine whether or not the Plan will have a significant effect on a European Site (a site of European importance for nature conservation). The ACS were subject of a Habitats Regulations Assessment in light of information available which indicated that the Sherwood Forest area may be formally proposed as a Special Protection Area in the near future, in recognition of the internationally important populations of woodlark and nightjar in this locality. This assessment concluded that any significant effects were capable of mitigation through changes to the ACS. These changes have been made. Since the LAPP is in general conformity with the Core Strategy it is not considered that there is a need to undertake a further screening of the LAPP. Whilst there were no significant effects identified for the Nottingham City area of the Aligned Core Strategies, this may need to be reassessed if an SPA classification is formalised. Allocations and/or any permissions given would be reviewed, and may be modified or revoked in order to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations. During the preparation of the LAPP, the Court of Justice of the European Union handed down their judgment in the case of People Over Wind (April 2018). Prior to the ruling it had been established practice that competent authorities should take account of mitigation measures which had been incorporated into a plan or project when deciding whether it would have a likely significant effect. The court has now ruled that this is incorrect and that it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce harmful effects on a European site.</del></p> <p><u>2.32a As a consequence, the LAPP has been subject to a further Habitats Regulations Assessment, which has subjected the LAPP to screening for likely significant effects in light of the HRA work already undertaken for the Core Strategy. The report has concluded that all aspects of the plan have been screened out, and the findings of the Shadow HRA have been adopted alongside the adoption of the LAPP. In addition, it has been shown that it has no 'effect' which might contribute in-combination to the effects from other plans and projects. As such, no further assessment in-combination is required. "</u></p> <p>(NB following sentence deleted from Submission change "However, this may need to be reassessed if an SPA classification is formalised. Allocations and/or any permissions given would be reviewed, and may be modified or revoked in order to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.")</p>	MM
15	Examination Change	23	Sustainable Growth	CC1	19	<p>Amend policy wording to read:</p> <p><del>"3. New dwellings will be required should to meet the optional higher National Housing Standard for water consumption of 110 litres per person per day, subject to viability."</del></p>	MM
16	Examination Change	24	Sustainable Growth	CC1	19	<p>Amend policy wording to read:</p> <p><del>"4. Innovative sustainable design solutions for energy efficiency and low carbon energy generation and use over and above the National Housing Standards Building Regulations will be supported."</del></p>	MM
17	Examination Change	25	Sustainable Growth	CC2	21	<p>Amend policy wording, in line with the Ministerial Statement (June 2015) to read:</p> <p><del>"4b) following consultation with local residents, it can be demonstrated that the planning impacts identified by the affected local community can be fully addressed, and therefore the proposal has the backing of the local community."</del></p>	MM
18	Examination Change	26	Sustainable Growth	CC3	24	<p>Amend policy wording to read:</p> <p><del>"4. All developments will be encouraged to should include Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDs) where appropriate to manage surface water effectively on site, and where technically feasible and viable to do so, to reduce surface water runoff and to ensure flooding is not increased elsewhere. Where possible SuDS should also be designed to enhance biodiversity value. A two stage SuDS treatment should be used in order to improve water quality. An appropriate maintenance and management plan, agreed with the Council, will be required for all Sustainable Drainage systems and where necessary appropriate, S106 Agreements will be sought."</del></p>	MM
19	Examination Change	27	Sustainable Growth	CC3	24	<p>Amend policy wording to read:</p> <p><del>"5b) brownfield sites should achieve as close to greenfield runoff rates as possible and must achieve betterment to existing runoff rates. A minimum of 30% reduction in run off rates will be expected should be achieved unless not technically feasible or not viable."</del></p>	MM

20	Submission Change	SC012	Sustainable Growth	CC3	24	<p>Policy CC3 amended to read:</p> <p>8. <del>Where Proposed</del> development in areas of flood risk is considered acceptable, it will only be considered appropriate when informed by an acceptable site specific flood risk assessment, following the Sequential Test and if required, the Exception Test.</p> <p>9. <u>Any development of sites within the functional floodplain of the River Leen and Day Brook will be required to compensate for the loss of floodplain on a level for level basis, be resistant and resilient to flooding through design and layout, and commit to provide onsite flood defence works and/or contribute towards off site schemes which reduce the risk of flooding to the site and/or third parties.</u></p>	MM
21	Submission Change	SC013	Sustainable Growth	CC3	26	<p>Sentence added to para 3.24 amended to add:</p> <p><u>For all sites greater than 1 hectare a site specific FRA focusing on sustainable surface water management is required. Guidance on the level of detail required.....</u></p>	MM
22	Submission Change	SC014	Sustainable Growth	CC3	26	<p>End of para 3.29 amended to read:</p> <p><u>".....risk of flooding, and an addendum to the two SFRA's is due to be published in late September 2017. Early indications show that overall the extent of flooding within Nottingham City has been reduced since the implementation of the Trent Left Bank flood alleviation scheme. The River Trent flood model was updated in 2016 and the River Leen and Day Brook flood model was updated in January 2017. These models reflect the new climate change guidance and feature modelled flood outlines relating to climate change breach scenarios. Where appropriate, these should be considered in the FRA. The latest climate change guidance is available at <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances</a>. The 2012 Trent Left Bank Flood Alleviation Scheme has reduced the area at risk of flooding in Nottingham City, particularly in the Nottingham University, Lenton, Queens Drive and Meadows areas. The River Trent Climate Change and Breach modelling (2017) identifies new and larger areas at a residual risk of flooding. There have also been updates to the fluvial modelling of the River Leen and Day Brook and the extent of the functional floodplain has increased in the Old Basford area, and flood zone 3a flood extent has increased in the Old Lenton area."</u></p>	MM
23	Submission Change	SC015	Sustainable Growth	CC3	27	<p>Sentence added to end of Para 3.30:</p> <p><u>elsewhere. "When a site does not benefit from formal flood defences any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land outside, but adjacent to the floodplain."</u></p>	MM
24	Additional Post Submission Change		Sustainable Growth		31	<p>Para. 3.49 amended to read:</p> <p><u>"... or due to looking after family/home."</u></p>	OM
25	Additional Post Submission Change		Sustainable Growth		31	<p>Para. 3.50 amended to read:</p> <p><u>"Whilst the City Centre, Universities, hospitals and the Enterprise Zone are likely to continue to be the focus of job growth, the regeneration needs of other areas of the City are likely to require more locally based solutions, tailored to their particular labour market characteristics and skill levels..."</u></p>	OM
26	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC02	Sustainable Growth	EE3	34	<p>Delete from criterion d) <u>"as set out in an agreed Development Brief"</u></p>	MM
27	Examination Change	28	Sustainable Growth	EE4	36	<p>Amend policy wording to read:</p> <p><u>"1. All new development, where appropriate necessary, will be required to help ensure that opportunities exist for more City residents to access work and/or develop their skill base. The City Council will support proposals which:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) provide improvements to education, skills and training provision for City residents;</li> <li>b) remove barriers to work for City residents and provide an increased number of job opportunities for City residents; and</li> <li>c) promote local labour agreements to enable City residents to develop skills and secure employment arising from the regeneration of the City.</li> </ul> <p><u>2. The City Council will, in appropriate circumstances where necessary, negotiate the provision of employment and training opportunities through the use of planning obligations."</u></p>	MM
28	Examination Change	29	Sustainable Growth	EE4	38	<p>Amend Justification Text wording to read:</p> <p><u>"3.79 A Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be prepared which sets out the circumstances where employment and training obligations are necessary and it will identify the types and scales of development that will be required to contribute to employment and training initiatives. The SPD will also set out the options available to developers to fulfil any such obligations in order to meet policy objectives."</u></p>	MM

29	Additional Post Submission Change		Sustainable Growth		41	Para. 3.88 amended to read: "The Core Strategy sets out that significant change will continue to be planned to within the City Centre over..."	OM
30	Additional Post Submission Change		Sustainable Growth	SH1	42	Para. 3.92 amended to read: ".....has been agreed with the Centres's owners, intu..."	OM
31	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC17	Sustainable Growth	SH2	43	Criterion 1 d) of Policy SH2 amended to read; "d) does not have a negative impact on <del>existing, committed and planned investment</del> <u>any plans for comprehensive redevelopment</u> in the Centre;"	MM
32	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC03	Sustainable Growth	SH2	43	• Delete criterion 1 e) " <del>supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any adopted SPD; and</del> " Amend criterion 2 h) to read "whether the proposal supports <u>any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any area specific-development plan policy and/or adopted SPD regeneration policies RE2 to RE5.</u> "	MM
33	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC18	Sustainable Growth	SH2	44	Criterion 2 g) of Policy SH2 amended to read; "g) does not have a negative impact on <del>existing, committed and planned investment</del> <u>any plans for comprehensive redevelopment</u> in the Centre;"	MM
34	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC19	Sustainable Growth	SH2	44	Delete Criterion 2 i) of Policy SH2 " <del>i) whether the proposal would have a negative impact on the economic and social wellbeing of local residents.</del> "	MM
35	Examination Change	30	Sustainable Growth	SH2	45	The Justification Text to Policy SH2 has been expanded to explain criterion SH2(g). Paragraph 3.100 has been amended to read: "Beyond the City Centre, the defined Town, District and Local Centres will continue to be the focus for retail provision in line with <u>the NPPF and Core Strategy</u> . These Centres have a wider role as a focal point within communities, a place to meet, socialise, gain access to services and pursue leisure interests. <u>Above all, the policy will seek to ensure that centres remain vital, attractive and provide a diverse retail offer. It will also be important that new development, across all Centres, does not undermine or prevent comprehensive redevelopment proposals through providing competing development or by fragmenting sites.</u> "	MM

36	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC20	Sustainable Growth	SH2	45	<p>Amend justification text to Policy SH2 as follows:</p> <p><u>"3.100a Nottingham has high levels of deprivation and poor health and was ranked as the 8th most deprived local authority out of 326 local authority districts in England according to the 2015 Index of Deprivation. Research on the spatial distribution of Payday Loan Shops (and Betting Shops) shows that they tend to locate in areas which experience high levels of health and economic deprivation (e.g. research by Landman Economics 2014 and 'Betting, Borrowing and Health' – Southwark Council 2014). Specifically, in relation to these uses, it is important that further development does not lead to any clusters or concentrations which would lead to negative impacts.</u></p> <p><u>3.100b Due to there being such a diverse range of town and local centres with distinct identities and characters, the policy will assess non A1 uses in relation to specific locational circumstances taking into account, for example, the number of non A1 units, length of non A1 frontage, amount of active frontage and clustering of single uses rather than specifying proportions of non A1 frontages for town centres.</u></p> <p>3.101 Changes in shopping behaviour and trends towards internet based shopping reinforce the already acknowledged importance of other complementary main town centre uses to the vitality and viability of Centres. This has also been recognised by the Government with the introduction of more flexible Permitted Development rights to allow change of use of some retail units to other uses without the need for planning permission.</p> <p><del>3.102 The Government has also responded to concerns over the growth of Pay Day Loan shops in the high street. In March 2015 the Government confirmed that Pay Day Loan shops would no longer be included within the A2 Use Class but would become 'sui generis' meaning that planning permission is now required for such uses. This was in response to concerns over the impact that such uses can have on the retail character and attractiveness of an area but most importantly the potential negative impact on the social and economic wellbeing of local communities.</del></p> <p><del>3.103 Nottingham has high levels of deprivation and poor health and was ranked as the 8th most deprived local authority out of 326 local authority districts in England according to the 2015 Index of Deprivation. Research on the spatial distribution of Pay Day Loan shops (and betting shops) shows that they tend to locate in areas which experience high levels of health and economic deprivation (e.g. research by Landman Economics 2014 and 'Betting, Borrowing and Health' – Southwark Council 2014). The Council will resist proposals which do not assist in reducing inequality and which may further harm the economic and social wellbeing of residents. In considering proposals, the Council will review the social and economic characteristics of the local area including reference to the Government's Indices of Deprivation and where appropriate information from other agencies on the nature of inequality and deprivation in the area."</del></p> <p>.....</p>	MM
37	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC04	Sustainable Growth	SH3	46	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete criterion e) "where the proposal supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any adopted SPD"</li> </ul>	MM
38	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC21	Sustainable Growth	SH3	46	<p>Delete criterion f) SH3 as follows:</p> <p><del>"f) whether the proposal would have a negative impact on the economic and social wellbeing of local residents."</del></p>	MM
39	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC44	Sustainable Growth	SH3	47	<p>Para. 3.110 amended to read:</p> <p><del>"3.110 The Justification Text to Policy SH2, is also relevant to Policy SH3 in relation to Payday Loan and Betting Shops and the Council will carefully consider and resist proposals to ensure that development does not lead to any clusters or concentrations of single uses which could have a negative impact on the economic and social wellbeing of residents."</del></p>	MM
40	Examination Change	31	Sustainable Growth	SH4	48	<p>Amend Policy SH4 criterion (3a) to read:</p> <p>"3. Proposals for main town centre uses in edge of centre and out of centre locations will also be considered against the following criteria:</p> <p>a) whether the proposal is for small scale retail provision in an area of deficiency and it can be robustly demonstrated that it will <del>to</del> serve local convenience or service requirements needs, including that generated by major new development. In determining <u>the proposal local need</u>, consideration will be given to the extent and nature of the local catchment, proximity to existing shopping facilities and local accessibility-<del>1</del>"</p>	MM

41	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC05	Sustainable Growth	SH4	49	• Delete criterion f) <del>“whether the proposal supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any adopted SPD”</del>	MM
42	Examination Change	63	Sustainable Growth	SH4	50	Insert additional paragraph into justification text at 3.117a)  <u>Proposals for A3, A4 and A5 uses in edge of centre and out of centre locations will also be considered under policy LS1 which seeks to resist such uses within 400 metres of a secondary school unless it can be clearly demonstrated that the proposal will not have a negative impact on health and wellbeing.</u>	MM
43	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC06	Sustainable Growth	SH5	51	Delete criterion e) <del>“the proposal supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any area specific development plan policy and/or adopted SPD”</del>	MM
44	Examination Change	32	Sustainable Growth	SH6	52	Amend criterion (b) to read:  “b) whether the proposal would result in harmful impacts, <u>such noise, disturbance, litter, anti-social behaviour or crime,</u> when considered in combination with the existing and proposed distribution of food, drink and entertainment uses, taking into account any evidence of harm caused by such uses; and”	MM
45	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC07	Sustainable Growth	SH6	52	Amend criterion a) to read <del>“whether the proposal supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any area specific development plan policy and/or adopted SPD</del> <u>regeneration policies RE2 to RE5.”</u>	MM
46	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC08	Sustainable Growth	SH7	54	Delete criterion a) <del>“whether the proposal supports any local development strategy for the area, including that set out in any adopted SPD”</del>	MM
47	Examination Change	33	Sustainable Growth	SH7	54	Amend Justification Text at para. 3.135:  “3.135 When assessing development proposals within CONIs the unique character of each CONI will be taken into account, along with the contribution that is made by different uses towards sustaining future local needs provision within the Centre and the on-going economic performance of the Centre. The Justification Text to Policy SH2, is also relevant to Policy SH7 in relation to <u>Payday Loan and Betting Shops</u> and the Council will carefully consider and resist proposals to ensure that development <u>does not lead to any clusters or concentrations of single uses, which could have a negative impact on the economic and social wellbeing of residents.</u> In considering if the development proposal is <u>proportionate in scale, compatible with the role and function of the CONI, or represents over-concentration or clustering of a single use,</u> regard will be had to factors such as the scale of the CONI, the nature of existing uses, the length of the frontage, the number of units in single uses and the size of existing and proposed units.”	MM
48	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC24	Sustainable Growth	SH7	54	Delete criterion d) of Policy SH7;  Policy SH7:- <del>“d) whether the proposal would have a negative impact on the economic and social wellbeing of local residents.”</del>	MM
49	Examination Change	2	Sustainable Growth	SH8	56	Amend Policy SH8: Markets para 2 to read;  2. Development that involves qualitative enhancements to existing out of centre or edge of centre markets will be supported where <del>it accords with any up to date and adopted development strategy for the area within which it is located and /-or</del> it can be demonstrated that it will contribute towards wider regeneration benefits and complies with <u>Policy SH4.</u>	MM
50	Examination Change	34	Sustainable Growth	RE1	58	Policy RE1 amended to read;  1. Planning permission will be granted for proposals which:  a) do not prejudice the wider regeneration and transformation of the <del>area</del> <u>City Centre Quarters and the Waterside area;</u> b) maximise the potential of the site and are of an appropriate scale, density, design and use commensurate with the regeneration ambitions for that area; and c) assist in enabling the appropriate wider regeneration of brownfield sites.  2. <del>Where</del> <u>Across the City,</u> where necessary, the Council will use its Compulsory Purchase Order powers to facilitate major regeneration schemes and unblock barriers to delivery.	MM

51	Submission Change	SC016	Sustainable Growth	Figure 2	60	Figure 2: City Centre Designations updated to show corrected Primary Shopping Frontages (Collin Street and around the Clock Tower at intu Victoria Centre) and Primary Shopping Area amended around the proposed Skills Hub.	MM
52	Submission Change	SC004	Throughout document	PA67	65	Title of PA67 amended at para 3.167 (pg 65), Policy LS2 (pg 126), Policy SA1 (pg 185), Development Principles (pg 252), Table 3.1 (pg 353), Table A4.2 (pg 323) and Table A5.2 (pg 323) to read: "...intu Broadmarsh Centre <u>and surrounding area.</u> "	OM
53	Examination Change	35	Sustainable Growth	RE7	73	Amend criterion (a) of Policy RE7 to read: "Planning permission for development will be granted at Stanton Tip, as shown on the accompanying Policies Map, subject to:  a) development of an agreed masterplan for the site; a)b) provision of primarily family housing; b)c) provision of employment uses; c)d) integration of new uses with existing development; d)e) submission of an acceptable site investigation and remediation scheme suitable for mixed use proposals; e)f) submission of a transport assessment and new and improved connections (vehicle/pedestrian/cycle) with adjacent development and NET Line 1 stop; f)g) retention and enhancement of existing habitats, including the Local Wildlife Site and creation of new areas to improve biodiversity and linkages to the River Leen corridor; g)h) proposals which successfully address the topography of the site in terms of accessibility, design and layout; h)i) creation of new green space within the development and links to existing open space/green infrastructure; i)j) suitable proposals for opening up the existing culvert, sustainable urban drainage and flood risk mitigation measures; j)k) proposals which safeguard groundwater resources; and k)l) proposals which maximise opportunities for the use and generation of low carbon energy."	MM
54	Examination Change	57	Sustainable Growth	RE7	73	Amendment to RE7 Stanton Tip justification text  3.184 Developers will be expected to work with the Council to develop and agree an overall masterplan for the site which will establish principles for development such as layout, design and phasing and which should adequately address the site's complexities and relationship and links to neighbouring communities. <u>The Masterplan should include an approach to open space for the development, and specifically address opportunities to protect, enhance and create habitats both within and beyond the site.</u>	MM
55	Submission Change	SC017	Sustainable Growth	RE8	75	Proposed to amend Policy Text of RE8 to read:  "... Prior to the relocation of <u>such</u> uses incompatible with the regeneration aims of the Waterside, residential development or other sensitive uses shall include <u>adequate mitigation (such as stand off/buffers to active operations, or the incorporation of other forms of mitigation such as screening or landscaping strips)</u> , where this is required, to avoid adverse impacts on new occupiers and existing businesses;..."	MM
56	Additional Post Submission Change		Places for People	HO1	82	Change to read "an SPD" for consistency throughout LAPP	OM



57	Examination Change	36	Places for People	HO1	82	<p>HO1</p> <p>2. In assessing whether sites are capable and suitable for accommodating family housing, and whether the resulting development will be in character with the local area, the following criteria will be taken into account:</p> <p>a) whether the site is allocated and the corresponding development principles indicate that an alternative use or mix of housing will be more appropriate;</p> <p>b) whether the resulting development would fulfil other regeneration aspirations of the City Council;</p> <p>c) whether local evidence of housing need and demand (such as that set out in the <del>Housing Nottingham Plan</del>) indicates that an alternative mix of housing is appropriate; or</p> <p>d) whether alternative provision meets other aims of the City Council, such as provision for elderly persons (including bungalows) and a proportion of the site can still be developed as family housing.</p> <p>3. On sites within the City Centre, the mix of housing should address the need to diversify the existing housing stock by including flats of two or more bedrooms. Innovative family housing will be sought as part of the overall housing mix on the City Centre fringes.</p> <p><del>4. Where sites provide for 10 or more homes, consideration should be given to including either provision of serviced plots for self or custom builders, and/or the provision of custom homes by other delivery routes, subject to viability considerations and site specific circumstances. If there is sufficient demand for this type of provision, a SPD may be prepared to provide further guidance on how custom and self-build housing should be delivered on such sites.</del></p> <p>4. The Council will support the provision of Self Build and Custom Build serviced plots provided that such proposals satisfy all other relevant policies within the Local Plan.</p>	MM
58	Additional Post Submission Change		Places for People	HO1	84	Change to read "an SPD" for consistency throughout LAPP	OM
59	Examination Change	37	Places for People	HO2	85	<p>HO2</p> <p>In order to both address the shortage of homes throughout the City which are suitable and attractive to families, and to encourage the provision of sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities, there will be a presumption against the loss of dwellinghouses (Use Class C3) for family occupation through either sub-division, conversion to Use Class C4, conversion to other non-residential uses or demolition and redevelopment unless:</p> <p>a) the property/properties is/are located within a site allocation and the corresponding development principles indicate that an alternative use or mix of housing will be more appropriate;</p> <p>b) the proposed development fulfils other regeneration aspirations of the City Council;</p> <p>c) local evidence of housing need and demand (such as that set out in the <del>Housing Nottingham Plan</del>) indicates that an alternative mix of housing is appropriate;</p> <p>d) alternative provision meets other housing priorities of the City Council, such as provision for elderly persons (including bungalows);</p> <p>e) the property/properties is/are proposed for development of main town centre uses and are located in or on the edge of a defined centre, and are subject to Policies SH2 and SH3; or</p> <p>f) an applicant can demonstrate that the property/properties is/are no longer suitable for family occupation, in which case, replacement with a new Class C3 dwellinghouse(s), suitable for family occupation will be the preferred approach, unless one of the criteria set out above can be satisfied.</p> <p>Justification Text:</p> <p>4.23 Such circumstances will be where the property/properties form/forms part of a site allocation within this document, and the accompanying development principles for that site, state that an alternative use for the property/properties has been identified or an alternative type of housing is more appropriate. Another example of an exceptional circumstance will be where an alternative form of development would fulfil regeneration aspirations endorsed by the City Council or where alternative provision meets other housing priorities of the City Council as set out in the <del>Housing Nottingham Plan</del> <u>Housing Strategy for the City "Quality Housing for All"</u>, such as provision for elderly persons including bungalows.</p>	MM

60	Examination Change	38	Places for People	HO3	87	<p>HO3</p> <p>1. Planning permission for new residential developments including conversions will be granted subject to the following affordable housing targets, where viable:</p> <p>a) For development where between 10 and 14 homes will be provided, at least 10% of the homes will be required to be available for affordable home ownership;</p> <p>b) For development where 15 or more homes will be provided, or the site has an area of 0.5 hectares or more, 20% of the homes will be required to be affordable housing, with at least 10% of homes being available for affordable home ownership, the remainder to be other forms of affordable housing.</p> <p>Planning permission for new residential developments including conversions, of 15 dwellings or more, or of 0.5 hectares or more (irrespective of dwelling numbers), will be granted subject to a target of 20% of new dwellings being developed for affordable housing, where appropriate. For Starter Homes or other affordable home ownership products, the government may set a different threshold.</p> <p>2. Affordable housing need should be met on-site. However, where it can be robustly justified, off-site provision or a financial contribution will be sought in accordance with the City Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance on affordable housing.</p> <p>3. The type of affordable housing to be provided on site will be negotiated having regard to:</p> <p>a) The Government's policy on Starter Homes and other affordable home ownership product requirements;</p> <p>b) the City-wide need for affordable housing as identified in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA), taking into account all other sources and supply of affordable housing;</p> <p>c) levels of affordability in the area; and</p> <p>d) size, type and tenure of housing in the area.</p> <p>4. On sites providing student dwellings, a commuted sum will be required in lieu of on-site affordable housing provision.</p>	MM
61	Examination Change	39	Places for People	HO3	87	<p>4.27 This policy relates to Policy 8 (Housing Size, Mix and Choice) and Policy 19 (Developer Contributions) of the Core Strategy. The NPPF states that where a local planning authority has identified that affordable housing is needed the approach must contribute to the objective of creating inclusive and mixed communities. Policies should be sufficiently flexible to take account of changing market conditions over time. It does not define the amount or type of affordable housing that should be provided, although the Housing and Planning Act 2016 allows for regulations to set the level of Starter Homes provision, and for the setting of a threshold above which Starter Home provision will be required. However, the government's current requirement is Housing White Paper proposes to amend the NPPF to introduce a clear policy expectation that housing sites of 10 dwellings and more deliver a minimum of 10% starter homes or other affordable home ownership products on sites over 10 dwellings or of 0.5ha and more.</p> <p>The affordable housing targets as set out above will be considered in the context of the deliverability and viability of development sites and the submission of robust viability assessments.</p> <p>4.28 Affordable housing is housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and/or is for essential local workers). A full definition is included in the Glossary, includes Social Housing (both Social Rent and Affordable Rent products) and Intermediate Housing, which includes shared ownership, shared equity and intermediate rent. The Housing and Planning Act 2016 contains provisions for Starter Homes, which the Government intends to be another form of affordable housing</p> <p>4.29 The following diagram shows the different affordable housing products:</p> <p>Social Housing — Intermediate Housing — Starter Homes</p> <p>• Social Rent • Shared Ownership</p> <p>• Affordable Rent • Discounted Sale</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Shared Equity</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Homebuy Direct</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">First Buy</p> <p>4.30 The Government will determine both the threshold and amount of Starter Homes to be provided in developments. However, for any provision that is not for Starter Homes and/or other affordable home ownership products, the City Council will require affordable housing contributions to contain a mix of Social Housing and Intermediate Housing that meets the local need for affordable housing with reference to the criteria in the policy. The City-wide Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) is the primary evidence base for determining affordable housing this need. However, in order to maintain inclusive and mixed communities, reference to the amount, type and tenure of affordable housing already in the locality of a development is also relevant when determining the appropriate split between Social Housing and Intermediate Housing.... SEE SCHEDULE OF EXAM CHANGES FOR COMPLETE REVISED WORDING</p>	MM

62	Examination Change	41	Places for People	HO4	90	<p>1. Planning permission for new residential development above a threshold of 10 or more dwellings will be granted subject to a target of at least 10% of new dwellings being developed as 'Accessible and Adaptable' dwellings, <u>where viable and technically feasible.</u></p> <p>2. In residential areas planning permission will be granted for specialist housing for older people, other vulnerable groups and for hostel accommodation provided that:</p> <p>a) a satisfactory residential environment can be achieved for the benefit of the intended occupants;</p> <p>b) the amenity of existing local residents would not be compromised;</p> <p>c) the use would not result in over-concentration of similar uses in any one area leading to a material change in character;</p> <p>d) the site is accessible to public transport and other services; and</p> <p>e) there will be satisfactory management arrangements in place to ensure amenity of nearby occupiers is maintained.</p> <p>4.38 <del>Proposals for specialist accommodation will be expected to conform to the appropriate standards set out within the Building Regulations (as amended 2015) relating to Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings (Category 2) and Wheelchair User Dwellings (Category 3) as appropriate.</del> Guidance on designing specialist accommodation for people with impaired mobility can be found in BS9266: 2013 'Design of accessible and adaptable general needs housing – Code of Practice'.</p>	MM
63	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC27	Places for People	HO5	92	<p>Amend criteria a) of Policy HO5 to read:</p> <p>a) allocated sites where student accommodation use accords with site <u>specific Development Principles</u>"</p> <p>With the following criteria renumbered.</p>	MM
64	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC10	Places for People	HO5	92	<p>Delete criterion f) "<del>sites where student accommodation accords with an approved SPD</del>"</p>	MM
65	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC30	Places for People	HO5	93	<p>Additional text added to justification text of Policy HO5 at para 4.48 to be read:</p> <p><u>"This policy sets out the location where PBSA will be encouraged but should be read in conjunction with Policy HO6 which sets out how proposals will be assessed."</u></p>	MM
66	Examination Change	42	Places for People	HO5	94	<p>4.51a The evidence of 'need' for additional student accommodation should include, but not be limited to, capacity assessment of existing stock (both University and privately owned stock) including any waiting lists for existing places, an appraisal of schemes in the planning pipeline (under construction, with planning permission and current applications), consultation with Higher Education providers and reference to the Council's latest Authority Monitoring Report which contains information on student numbers and completed bed spaces. <u>The demand for different types of student accommodation is also relevant in demonstrating need, with most rent schemes consisting largely of studio flats. Schemes designed to appeal to returning students, students requiring short term contracts, and students with families, are particular segments where further provision is sought. Returning students have a preference to live as a household with friends, therefore schemes replicating these preferences are more likely to be supported.</u></p>	MM
67	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC28	Places for People	HO6	95	<p>Addition text added to criteria 2 a) of Policy HO6 to read;</p> <p>b) "the existing proportion of HMOs and / or other Student Households in the area and whether this proportion amounts or will amount to a "Significant Concentration" (calculated using the methodology shown in Appendix 6) <u>apart from PBSA within areas identified in Policy HO5 where new PBSA is encouraged.</u>"</p>	MM
68	Submission Change	SC018	Places for people	HO6	95	<p>Amend policy HO6 1c) to read:</p> <p><u>"...changes of use and the erection of buildings to create <del>which include the creation of</del> residential accommodation for exclusive occupation by students (e.g. purpose build student accommodation)"</u></p>	MM
69	Examination Change	43	Places for People	HO6	97	<p>4.64 The provision of purpose built student accommodation will be kept under review to ensure an appropriate level of accommodation is provided. The student market is not homogenous, but includes demands for different types of accommodation; however, recent evidence indicates a low level of vacancy across all accommodation types. In order to ensure new purpose built student housing does not lead to overprovision, and thus unsustainable levels of vacancies within the existing stock of purpose built accommodation, policy HO6 includes a requirement for developers to provide evidence of the need for the scheme, and what segment of student population the development will cater for (<u>see paragraph 4.51a above which provides guidance on what constitutes need under this policy</u>). Schemes designed to appeal to returning students, students requiring short term contracts and students with families are particular segments where further provision is sought. Returning students have a preference to live as a household with friends (thus the predominance of shared housing in some areas of the City), so schemes replicating these preferences are more likely to be supported. Developers of new student accommodation are advised to liaise with the relevant University in order to understand current accommodation needs and demands.</p>	MM

70	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC29	Places for People	HO6	97	<p>Addition text added to para 4.60 of Policy HO6 to read:</p> <p>"4.60 Where there is already a 'Significant Concentration' of HMOs and/or student households in an area, calculated using the methodology in Appendix 6, planning permission will therefore not usually be granted for further HMOs or Purpose Built Student Accommodation <u>(unless the PBSA complies with Policy HO5).</u>"</p>	MM
71	Examination Change	6	Places for People	HO7	98	<p>New Policy and justification proposed using existing text at p98-99</p> <p><b>Policy HO7: Gypsies, and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople</b></p> <p><b>Safeguarding Existing Supply</b></p> <p><u>1. Existing Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites will be protected unless it can be demonstrated that they are no longer required or equivalent alternative provision can be made.</u></p> <p><b>Meeting Future Need</b></p> <p><u>2. The accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople will be met in the following ways:</u></p> <p>a) On sites which have good access to the strategic road network and which meet the criteria of Core Strategy Policy; and</p> <p>b) On appropriate small scale infill and/or small scale site extensions .....</p> <p>SEE SCHEDULE OF EXAM CHANGES FOR COMPLETE REVISED WORDING OF POLICY HO7</p>	MM
72	Examination Change	44	Places for People	DE1	101	<p>Amend Policy DE1 to read;</p> <p><u>1. Planning applications will be considered against the following design criteria:</u></p> <p>a) whether the development would respect and enhance the streetscape, local environment, townscape and character of the area, and in particular its established scale, massing, rhythm, landscape (including ridge lines), historic views and materials;</p> <p>b) whether a satisfactory level of amenity would be provided for occupiers of the development and/or occupiers of neighbouring properties. In assessing this, consideration will be given to issues such as privacy, daylight, sunlight, outlook, scale/massing, security, odour, dust, noise, vibration and nuisance;</p> <p>c) whether the development would enhance community safety, crime prevention and street activity, <u>and where relevant be designed to reduce the risk of terrorist incidents;</u></p> <p>d) whether the development would prejudice the comprehensive development or regeneration of a larger area;</p> <p>e) whether the development makes provision for the safe and convenient use of, and access into and around the building, paying particular attention to the needs of people with disabilities;</p> <p>f) whether the development would provide appropriate internal and external storage and convenient and efficient room layouts which are functional and fit for purpose;</p> <p><del>g) for residential development, whether the development would meet the Nationally Described Space Standards set out in Figure 4;</del></p> <p>h) whether the development would allow for future flexibility and adaptability (in terms of both internal and external layout of buildings);</p> <p>i) whether the development would accord with the principles of sustainability in design, including renewable resources, accessibility and efficiency of use and appropriate techniques to minimise the impact of surface water discharges.;</p> <p>j) whether the development is designed, constructed and implemented to minimise the creation of waste, maximise the use of recycled materials, and assist the collection, separation, sorting, recycling and recovery of waste arising from the development.</p> <p><u>2. From six months after the adoption of this Plan, all residential development should meet the Nationally Described Space Standards as set out in Table 4.</u></p>	MM
73	Submission Change	SC023	Places for People	DE1	101	<p>Policy DE1 c) amended to read:</p> <p><u>"...street activity, and where relevant be designed to reduce the risk of terrorist incidents"</u></p>	MM
74	Submission Change	SC024	Places for People	DE1	101	<p>Para 4.75 amended to read:</p> <p><u>"..improve community safety. There has been an increased risk of terrorist incidents in recent years, and where appropriate the design of buildings and their immediate environment should take account of the need to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks occurring by reducing vulnerability and increasing resilience. Measures to reduce the risk should be sensitively designed, and accord with the principles of Policy DE2 - Design and Place Making."</u></p>	MM
75	Additional Post Submission Change		Places for People	DE2	105	<p>Amend criteria o) to remove duplicated o);</p> <p>o) e) provide an appropriate and comprehensive landscaping scheme with clear proposals for maintenance and management.</p>	OM

76	Submission Change	SC025	Places for People	DE2	106	Para 4.89 add new sentence to read:  " <u>Where necessary, for instance in places that people gather, or in the vicinity of vulnerable uses, the design of spaces should take into account the risk of terrorism, and the need to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks occurring by reducing vulnerability and increasing resilience. Measures to reduce the risk should be sensitively designed so as to not detract from, and where possible enhance, local amenity.</u> "	MM
77	Examination Change	61	Places for People	DE6	111	1. Applications for advertisement consent will be considered with regard to their impact on <u>aural or visual amenity</u> and public safety. In assessing these applications, the following considerations will be applied:  .....  2. Action will be taken to secure the removal of advertisements where they are displayed without or in contravention of the relevant consent or where they <u>cause substantial injury to aural or visual amenity or danger to the public</u> <del>cause substantial harm to amenity or highway/public safety.</del>  Justification 4.111a Digital screens to show advertising and events are a newly emerging media, and can involve both moving images and sound. As such they can have a disproportionate impact on public spaces. <u>Aural and visual amenity will therefore be a key consideration in determining advertisement consents for digital screens.</u> The City Council has prepared informal guidance as to how the amenity and public safety impacts of digital screens located within the City Centre should be assessed (City Centre Digital Media Interim Planning Statement), and further guidance to extend coverage to the whole of the City will be prepared.	MM
78	Submission Change	SC026	Places for people	DE6	111	Policy DE6(2) amended to read: "Action will be taken to secure the removal of advertisements where they <u>are displayed without or in contravention of the relevant consent or where they cause substantial injury to amenity or danger to the public.</u> <del>cause substantial harm to amenity or highway/public safety.</del> ."	MM
79	Submission Change	SC027	Places for people	DE6	111	Delete paras 4.108, 4.109 and 4.110. Add new wording to para 4.108 to read: " <u>In assessing applications against Policy DE6 the Council will have regard to matters such as the height at which the advertisement is located; the effect of any illumination; its impact on the relevant locality and the proposed length of the consent . Whilst large scale hoardings and displays can have a considerable impact they might be found to be acceptable if they are providing screening to sites or premises which themselves are visually detrimental to the surrounding area.</u> "	MM
80	Examination Change	49	Places for People	HE1	114	Policy HE1  1. Proposals will be supported where heritage assets and their settings are conserved and enhanced in line with their interest and significance.  2. Where proposals would affect a heritage asset, the applicant will be expected to describe the asset's significance (including the contribution made by its setting) in a proportionate level of detail that allows the impact of the proposals to be sufficiently understood.  <u>Designated Heritage Assets</u> 3. Any harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset or its setting should be clearly justified and the harm weighed against the public benefits of the proposals.  4. Development involving the demolition of, or substantial harm to the significance of a designated asset will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.  <u>Non-Designated Heritage Assets</u> 5. Where development affects a non-designated heritage asset or would result in its demolition or loss, a balanced judgement on the acceptability of the proposal will be made, having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.  <u>All Heritage Assets</u> 6. Proposals affecting any heritage asset and/or its setting will be considered against the following criteria, where relevant: a) the significance of the asset; b) whether the proposals would be sympathetic to the <del>character and appearance</del> <u>significance</u> of the asset and any feature of special historic, architectural, artistic and archaeological interest that it possesses; c) whether the proposals would preserve and, where possible, <del>enhance</del> <u>better reveal</u> the character or appearance of a heritage asset by virtue of siting, scale, urban grain, building form, massing, height, materials and quality of detail; d) whether the proposals would respect their relationship with the historic street pattern, topography, urban spaces, gardens, landscape, views and landmarks; e) whether the proposals would demonstrate high standards of design appropriate to the historic environment; f) whether the proposals would contribute to the long term maintenance and management of the asset; g) whether the proposals would appropriately provide for 'in-situ' preservation, or investigation and recording of archaeology, based upon significance; and h) to what degree the proposed use is compatible with the significance of the asset.	MM

81	Examination Change	49	Places for People	HE1	115	4.117a When considering proposals that would result in substantial harm to or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset, the following criteria will be taken into account:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• whether it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss;</li> <li>• the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and</li> <li>• conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and</li> <li>• the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.</li> </ul>	MM
82	Submission Change	SC028	Places for People	HE1	116	Para 4.130 amended to read:  "The Council <del>are will</del> producing and maintaining a <u>Local List</u> of non-designated heritage assets and set out the criteria for their identification."	MM
83	Submission Change	SC029	Places for People	HE1	117	Footnote added regarding Scheduled Monuments to read:  " <u>Scheduled Monuments are made up of one or more polygons but shown on the policies map as a single point. For the definitive boundary please refer to Historic England's web site.</u> "	MM
84	Submission Change	SC030	Places for People	HE1	117	Note added that for 3 Scheduled Monuments the listing is made up of 2 sites (Rock cut houses north of Castle Boulevard, Caves at Drury Hill and Lenton Priory)  Text added to the end of each within bullet points to read: " <u>(made up of 2 sites)</u> "	MM
85	Submission Change	SC031	Places for People	HE1	117	Para 4.133 to be deleted and moved into a footnote <sup>10</sup> to read:  "The Priory was designated in 2002 and is a pre-Reformation monastic foundation of national significance. The area of remains extend beneath adjacent properties, particularly along Priory Street on the site of the monastic church."	MM
86	Submission Change	SC032	Places for People	HE2	119	Amend para 4.139 to read:  "Nottingham has more than <del>500</del> 800 caves listed in the City Council's HER."	MM
87	Additional Post Submission Change		Places for People	HE2	120	Change to read "an SPD" for consistency throughout LAPP	MM
88	Submission Change	SC033	Places for people	LS1	123	Policy LS1: Amended to read;  g) <del>in the case of A5 (hot food takeaway use),</del> it is located within an existing Centre or at least 400 metres from a secondary school unless it can be clearly demonstrated that the proposal will not have a negative impact on health and wellbeing.	MM
89	Examination Change	64	Places for People	LS1	123	Amend Policy LS1 to read;  Outside the City Centre, as shown on the accompanying Policies Map, planning permission will be granted for development involving food and drink uses (Use Class A3, A4 and A5) and licensed entertainment venues where:  a) the proposal is located within an existing Centre, or meets the requirements set out in SH4; b) the proposal is compatible with the scale, character and function of any Centre in which it is located, and maintains or enhances the vitality and viability of that Centre; <del>e) the proposal would support and not undermine any local development strategy for the area and / or up to date and adopted SPD for the site, Centre or area;</del> <del>e) c) the proposal would not result in any unacceptable impacts on nearby residents and occupiers in terms of noise and disturbance (generated either inside or outside the premises and by activity attributable to its operation), vibration, fumes, waste generation, litter, anti-social behaviour and crime having regard to the effectiveness of available measures to manage potential harm through the use of planning conditions and / or obligations;</del> <del>e) d) the cumulative impact of food, drink and entertainment uses, in the Centre or area, taking into account the number and distribution of existing premises, and any evidence of harm (as set out in criterion c above) caused by such uses is not of an unacceptable level;</del>	MM

90	Examination Change	62	Places for People	LS1	124	<p>Amend paras 4.155 and 4.158 as follows;</p> <p>4.155 In line with the aim of supporting the ongoing vitality and viability of existing Centres, and in view of the negative impacts that can arise from food and drink, and entertainment venues, proposals for such uses <u>are most appropriately located within</u> <del>will not usually be supported outside</del> established Centres in accordance with Policy SH4.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>4.158 In addition to compliance with the other criteria within the policy, proposals for A3, A4 and A5 takeaway uses will therefore not be supported outside established Centres if they are located within 400 metres of a secondary school, unless it is clearly demonstrated that the proposal will not have a negative impact on health and wellbeing. This might include, for example, hours of operation which avoid those times when secondary school age children are most likely to visit hot food takeaways, <u>or the submission of a suitable Health Impact Assessment of the proposal. Beyond 400 metres, such applications will be considered under Policy SH4 and other relevant policies.</u></p>	MM
91	Submission Change	SC034	Places for people	LS1	124	<p>Add new para at 4.157a to read;</p> <p><u>"4.157a The Health and Wellbeing Board brings together a range of organisations that work to improve health and wellbeing in Nottingham. The Board has a number of priorities including improving children and young people's health and reducing the number of people who are overweight and obese. One of the actions the Board has identified is the development of a spatial planning policy framework to secure public health gain. Through its Nottingham Health and Wellbeing Strategy, the Board seeks the control of impact of planning decisions upon health and wellbeing in order to realise the health benefits of limiting access to high calorie food intake that can contribute to overweight and obesity. "</u></p>	MM
92	Submission Change	SC035	Places for people	LS1	125	<p>Add new para at 4.157b to read:</p> <p><u>4.157b The prevention of weight gain, beginning in childhood, offers the most effective means of achieving healthy weight in the population. Where they are located close to schools, A3, A4 and A5 uses have the potential to influence behaviour harmful to health or harmful to the promotion of healthy lifestyles.</u></p>	MM
93	Examination Change	46	Places for People	LS1	125	<p>4.158 In addition to compliance with the other criteria within the policy, proposals for A3, A4 and A5 takeaway uses will therefore not be supported outside established Centres if they are located within 400 metres of a secondary school, unless it is clearly demonstrated that the proposal will not have a negative impact on health and wellbeing. This might include, for example, hours of operation which avoid those times when secondary school age children are most likely to visit hot food takeaways, <u>or the submission of a suitable Health Impact Assessment of the proposal.</u></p>	MM
94	Submission Change	SC037	Places for people	LS1	125	<p>Paragraph 4.159 amended to read:</p> <p>In implementing the Policy, the distance of 400m within criterion g) is taken to be the distance from the nearest pedestrian entrance of a secondary school <u>by foot</u> to the main entrance of a proposed hot food takeaway. A distance of 400m is considered to be representative of easy walking distance from a school and equates to the widely accepted distance used in considering acceptability of walking distances to local bus stops.</p>	MM
95	Examination Change	47	Places for People	LS4	129	<p>4.171 The loss of a public house may be permitted where it can be clearly demonstrated that a range of alternative accessible public houses exist which provide a diverse range of services which continue to meet the needs of the community. <u>For instance where the public house proposed to be lost serves a particular section of the local community, an alternative accessible public house that also serves that section of the community should exist. In reaching a decision, regard will be had to whether the public house is a designated Asset of Community Value, and to the results of consultation undertaken as part of the consideration of the planning application.</u></p>	MM

96	Examination Change	48	Places for People	LS5	130	<p>1. Planning permission for new or improved community facilities (including shared facilities) will be granted subject to the following considerations:</p> <p>a) whether the proposed use is <del>compatible with</del> harmful to the amenity of adjoining and nearby uses;</p> <p>b) whether the proposal would cause congestion, and the amounts and times of this congestion; and</p> <p>c) whether the proposal impacts on the living conditions of residents, especially in areas that are primarily residential in nature.</p> <p>2. The loss of community facilities (other than Public Houses) and their sites will only be permitted if it can be demonstrated that:</p> <p>a) replacement facilities of an <del>appropriate</del> <u>equivalent</u> quality and size are to be provided as part of new development proposals; or</p> <p>b) replacement facilities of an <del>appropriate</del> <u>equivalent</u> quality and size are to be provided in an alternative <del>suitable</del> <u>location serving the same catchment area</u>; or</p> <p>c) the facility is no longer needed within the community and suitable alternative provision with sufficient capacity is available in the area; or</p> <p>d) the building or land is no longer suitable to accommodate the current use and cannot be retained or adapted to accommodate community facilities and there is no need or demand for any other suitable community use; or</p> <p>e) in the case of commercial community facilities, it has been demonstrated that the use is no longer viable and evidence has been made available to demonstrate that all reasonable efforts have been made to preserve the facility and it would not be economically viable to retain the building for other community uses. Evidence requirements set out in criteria 2a to d of Policy LS4 are relevant in this regard.</p> <p>3. Developer contributions will be sought <u>where necessary</u> to support new and expanded community facilities depending on the scale and nature of development proposals and the need arising from the development.</p>	MM
97	Examination Change	55	Places for People	LS5	131	Para 4.181 - change appropriate to necessary to accord with NPPF - Where ' <u>necessary appropriate</u> S106 Agreements will be sought....'	MM
98	Submission Change	SC038	Places for people	TR1	134	Amend criterion 5(d) to read: "whether traffic generated by the proposed development would be prejudicial to conditions of highway safety or detrimental to the character and appearance of Conservation Areas or other sensitive areas <del>in or immediately adjoining of</del> the City, particularly for the reasons set out in Policy DE1;"	MM
99	Examination Change	55	Places for People	TR1	135	Para 4.196 - change appropriate to necessary to accord with NPPF - where ' <u>necessary appropriate</u> Planning conditions and S106 agreements...'	MM
100	Submission Change	SC039	Places for People	TR1	136	Update the text for para 4.199a:  "In <del>July 2017</del> <del>December 2015</del> , DEFRA identified Nottingham as one of the cities <u>required to deliver a Clean Air Zone by the end of 2019 or sooner, with a view to achieving compliance with air quality standards within the shortest possible time. mandated to achieve Clean Air Zone targets, no later than 31-</u> <del>December 2019</del> . Travel Demand Management <u>supporting the use of sustainable transport modes including the use of Ultra Low Emission Vehicles</u> , will play a significant role in meeting this target... "	MM
101	Submission Change	SC040	Places for people	TR2	137	Policy TR2 amended to read: "TR2.3 Ring Road Major Phase 2" <del>---</del>	MM
102	Submission Change	SC041	Places for people	TR2	137	Policy TR2 amended to read: "TR2.13 Hucknall Road (part of Ring Road Major Phase 2)" <del>---</del>	MM
103	Submission Change	SC042	Places for people	TR2	137	Policy TR2 amended to read: "TR2.14 Basford Bridge (part of Ring Road Major Phase 2)" <del>---</del>	MM
104	Submission Change	SC043	Places for people	TR2	137	Policy TR2 amended to read: "TR2.15 Crown Island (part of Ring Road Major Phase 2)" <del>---</del>	MM
105	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC33	Our Environment		142	Amend para 5.4 to read:  "In line with the NPPF the City commissioned a Playing Pitch Strategy ( <del>2015</del> <u>2018</u> ) along with other interested parties including Sport England and National Governing Bodies..."	MM
106	Submission Change	SC044	Our Environment		143	LWS - reducing from 63 to 54 due to new monitoring procedues where only sites which are 51% within the City are counted.  "In addition, locally designated sites help to ensure the habitats, species and features of value are adequately protected and promote public access to nature. Local nature conservation sites in Nottingham include 14 Local Nature Reserves, <del>63</del> <u>54</u> Local Wildlife Sites <u>(with additional LWS situated partially within the city border)</u> and <del>49</del> <u>18</u> Local Geological Sites (LGS)."	MM
107	Additional Post Submission Change		Our Environment		143	December 2018 Updates to LNW, LWS and LGS for para 5.7 to read;  Local nature conservation sites in Nottingham include 14 Local Nature Reserves, <del>63</del> <u>54</u> Local Wildlife Sites (with additional LWS situated partially within the city border) and <del>24</del> <u>49</u> Local Geological Sites (LGS).	MM



108	Examination Change	50	Our Environment	EN1	144	<p>Justification text changed to read;</p> <p><del>5.9 In a densely populated area like Nottingham, it is important to protect open spaces. There has been significant investment into many of the City's parks, raising their quality and with many having been awarded Green Flag status. Whilst it is important to protect open spaces, they vary significantly in quality and type. Some suffer from a range of problems including poor access, vandalism and anti-social behaviour, lack of maintenance resources and inappropriate location. In some areas, the type of provision does not meet identified needs, resulting in open spaces being under-used and seen as being of limited value to the local community.</del></p> <p><u>5.10 In a densely populated area like Nottingham, it is important to protect open spaces. There has been significant investment into many of the City's parks, raising their quality and with many having been awarded Green Flag status. The Open Space Network includes parks, nature reserves, public and private playing fields, golf courses, allotments, community gardens, cemeteries, play spaces, woodland, banks and towpaths of rivers and canals, other vegetated paths and track ways, squares and other incidental spaces as shown on the Policies Map. This 'network' of open spaces makes a major contribution to the visual character and image of Nottingham, provides for a range of recreation needs and is important for its landscape value and nature conservation interest.</u></p> <p><u>5.15 The adopted Core Strategy sets out that parks and open spaces are protected from development, but exceptions may be made if the park or open space is shown to be underused or undervalued and only represents a small part of a larger site that continues to contribute to the Green Infrastructure Network. Some areas of open space suffer from a range of problems including poor access, vandalism and anti-social behaviour, lack of maintenance and inappropriate location. These are also areas where the type of provision does not meet identified needs, resulting in open spaces being under-used and seen as being of limited value to the local community. As a result, in some instances, it may be appropriate to develop on open space if there are benefits to the Open Space Network as a whole where the criteria in the policy are met.</u></p> <p><u>5.16 Such releases would be considered after all options to retain the open space have been considered, and only as part of a more comprehensive 'action area' approach identifying wider areas of improvement, for instance where development would enhance existing facilities or including an element of residential development to increase safety and surveillance. The City Council will secure through a planning obligation or conditions (or Community Infrastructure Levy if implemented), improvements to the open space, and/or provision of other community facilities locally.</u></p>	MM
109	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC34	Our Environment	EN1	145	<p>Amend para 5.14 to read:</p> <p><del>"The Playing Pitch Strategy (2015 2018) is currently being updated with a Revised Playing Pitch Strategy expected early 2018. It includes an audit of the City's outdoor sports provision and clubs who use it..."</del></p>	MM
110	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC32	Our Environment	EN2	146	<p>New para 5.19a</p> <p><u>"5.19a The Council intends to provide further guidance related to Open Space within a SPD. This will set out the level of provision of open space required in new residential and commercial development, help applicants incorporate open space provision into development proposals and provide guidance on any financial contributions required along with a revised fee structure."</u></p>	MM
111	Submission Change	SC047	Our Environment	EN3	147	<p>Reference to the need for a community hub at PA6 removed at para 5.21 as no longer required:</p> <p><del>"This looks at the existing and future provision requirements for formal sports pitches. It also considers the proposed allocations within the LAPP of former playing pitches and considers these suitable to be released for alternative uses so long as provision is made for a new Community Sports Hub and the Plan proposes this at PA6"</del></p>	MM
112	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC35	Our Environment	EN3	147	<p>Amend text in para 5.21 in Policy EN3 to read:</p> <p><del>"In 2015 2018 the City Council, along with its partners including Sport England and National Governing Bodies of Sport (NGBs) commissioned the a Revised Nottingham Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) and Action Plan (2015). It is currently being updated with a Revised Playing Pitch Strategy expected early 2018..."</del></p>	MM
113	Examination Change	51	Our Environment	EN3	147	<p><u>5.22 The policy gives strong protection to playing pitches from development unless a detailed assessment has been submitted and each of the criteria are met. Where this is the case, alternative provision would be secured, either through conditions or a S106 agreement as necessary. For instance, commuted sums secured through S106 could be used to upgrade existing facilities in the vicinity of the development. Sport England is a statutory consultee on all issues affecting playing fields including planning applications for development that would lead to the loss of, or prejudice the use of, playing fields for sport. Local authorities must seek the prior consent of the Secretary of State for Education before they can dispose of a school playing field, or change its use to any purpose other than for the educational purposes of a maintained school or for recreation. Where alternative provision is proposed, its size, quality and accessibility will be assessed through the planning process. Consideration should be given to the guidance produced by Sports England "Active Design" (October 2015) which sets out opportunities to encourage and promote sport and physical activity through the design and layout of the built environment to support a step change towards healthier and more active lifestyles.</u></p>	MM

114	Examination Change	52	Our Environment	EN5	151	Development which is adjacent to, or contains, waterways will be expected to: a) maintain, enhance or create suitable and safe public connections to, along and adjacent to waterway(s) for walking, cycling and maintenance; b) protect, maintain and enhance the nature conservation interest of the waterway(s) and its banks; c) protect, maintain and enhance the sustainable drainage functions of the waterway(s) and its banks; d) where feasible and viable, open culverted, piped or covered waterway(s); e) avoid the loss of open waterway(s) through culverting, piping, or enclosure by development; f) take opportunities to enhance the recreation and leisure role of waterway(s); and g) <del>take advantage</del> realise the potential of the proximity of waterways in order to maximise the regeneration potential benefits of the sites.	MM
115	Submission Change	SC049	Our Environment	EN6	153	Add new sentence to the end of para 5.37 to read:  "The Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006 sets out a biodiversity duty that 'every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity.' The policies within the LAPP and evidence that underpins the approach to biodiversity have been prepared to help to discharge the Council's responsibility under the NERC Act."	MM
116	Additional Post Submission Change		Our Environment	EN6	154	December 2018 Updates to LNW, LWS and LGS for para 5.39 to read;  Locally designated sites include 14 Local Nature Reserves (with more proposed), which are designated by the City Council, 63 54 Local Wildlife Sites and 24 49 Local Geological Sites ....	MM
117	Submission Change	SC050	Our Environment	EN6	154	Para 5.40 updated to reflect latest legislation, to read:  "Nottingham supports species protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 20107,...."	MM
118	Submission Change	SC051	Our Environment	EN6	155	Add reference to Glossary at 5.44 to read:  "Where there is suitable habitat present or a reasonable likelihood of a notable species (see Glossary) being present, ..."	MM
119	Examination Change	53	Our Environment	EN6	155	5.45 Further details for providing biodiversity enhancement in and around development can be found in the Biodiversity Checklist, and a Biodiversity SPD will also be produced to provide further guidance to applicants. Examples of enhancement include: habitat restoration, re-creation and expansion, improved links between existing sites, buffering of existing important sites, new biodiversity features within development or securing management for long-term enhancement. New buildings can themselves also assist in promoting biodiversity, for instance through the provision of green roofs and walls, or features such as integrated bat or swift boxes. The River Leen within the City has been identified as a large-scale project within the City for habitat improvement and smaller- scale improvements are also listed within the development principles for specific site allocations within this document.	MM
120	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC37	Our Environment	EN7	156	Replace criteria 4. of Policy EN7;  "4. Development resulting in the loss or deterioration of Ancient Woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside Ancient Woodland will be refused unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss" with  "4. Development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists."	MM
121	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC38	Our Environment	EN7	156	Add at a new para to the justification text:  "5.46a Developments resulting in the loss or deterioration of ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees will not be supported unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and the scheme is supported by an agreed suitable compensation strategy. In these unusual circumstances, the public benefit would have to clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of such trees for example in infrastructure projects (including for instance nationally significant infrastructure projects, orders under the Transport and Works Act and hybrid bills)."	MM
122	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC39	Our Environment		158	Insert new para 5.55a in the preamble to the Minerals policies;  "5.55a Should any proposals for minerals extraction be received by the City Council, they will be considered against the Minerals policies but also against other relevant policies in the Local Plan, such as those dealing with amenity, historic environment, land contamination, etc."	MM

123	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC40	Our Environment		158	Relocated paras 5.61 (and slightly reword to be in light with 2018 NPPF) and 5.62 to preamble to minerals policies;  "5.55b The NPPF also requires that planning applications for minerals development should be assessed so as to ensure that permitted operations do not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment or human health, taking into account the cumulative effects of multiple impacts from individual sites and/or a number of sites in a locality."  5.55c In addition, Government policy requires the preparation of a Local Aggregates Assessment (LAA) to enable Minerals Planning Authorities (MPAs) to provide a steady and adequate supply of mineral resources by identifying local apportionments for all aggregate minerals in their area. The City Council and Nottinghamshire County Council have worked together to jointly prepare this document, along with participation of the Aggregates Working Party (comprising MPAs from across the region and industry representatives, its role being to provide technical advice about the supply and demand for aggregates and undertake annual monitoring of aggregate production and levels of permitted reserves across the East Midlands)."	MM
124	Submission Change	SC052	Our Environment	MI1	158	Title of the policy to be amended to read:  "Policy MI1: Minerals Safeguarding Area"	MM
125	Submission Change	SC053	Our Environment	MI1	158	Numbering added to Policy criteria and additional criterion added to the policy to read:  "2. Associated minerals infrastructure will be safeguarded unless:  a) the merits of the development clearly outweigh the need for safeguarding; or b) the infrastructure is no longer needed; or c) the capacity of the infrastructure can be relocated or provided elsewhere"	MM
126	Examination Change	54	Our Environment	MI1	159	5.60 Whilst the prior extraction of the mineral resource within the MSA should be considered, it is not the intent of the policy to unduly constrain development within the City, but rather safeguard against unnecessary sterilisation. It is therefore important that the overall viability of development proposals, as well as the potential impact of the proposal on the resource, is considered. Where site allocations in this Plan are located in Minerals Safeguarding Areas, this is identified in the Development Principles, and is not considered to be a barrier to the development of these sites. The principal mineral concerned is coal, and the Coal Authority has been consulted at all stages of plan preparation. They have confirmed that where coal resources are present, and can be worked, this is most practicably done as part of site preparation works, and need not unduly delay site development.	MM
127	Submission Change	SC054	Our Environment	MI1	159	Add new para to read:  "5.62a The policy also ensures that facilities for the storage, handling and processing of minerals and other aggregate materials are effectively safeguarded unless the merits of the loss of the facilities clearly outweigh the need to retain the use, the infrastructure is no longer needed, or the facility is to be relocated or provided elsewhere."	MM
128	Submission Change	SC055	Our Environment	MI1	161	Mineral Safeguarding Area map replaced to improve quality of the map. Also moved so it follows MI1: Mineral Safeguarding Policy.	MM
129	Submission Change	SC056	Our Environment	MI2	165	PEDL data has been amended, text of para 5.77 therefore amended to read:  "Part of the east and south Nottingham falls within areas which have been licenced by the Government."	MM

130	Examination Change	60	Our Environment	MI3	165	<p>Policy MI3: Hydrocarbons</p> <p>Exploration 1. Proposals for hydrocarbon exploration will <del>not</del> be supported where they <u>will not</u> give rise to any unacceptable impacts on the environment or to neighbouring occupiers. 2. Where proposals lie within an environmentally sensitive area, evidence must be provided to demonstrate that exploration could not be achieved in a more acceptable location and that within the area of search the proposal would have least impact.</p> <p>Appraisal 3. Where hydrocarbons are discovered, proposals to appraise, drill and test the resource will be permitted provided that they are consistent with an overall scheme for the appraisal and delineation of the resource and do not give rise to any unacceptable impacts on the environment or to neighbouring occupiers. Where proposals for hydrocarbon development coincide with areas containing other underground mineral resources, evidence must be provided to demonstrate that their potential for future exploitation will not be unreasonably affected.</p> <p>Production (Extraction) 4. Proposals for the extraction of hydrocarbons will <del>not</del> be supported <u>provided</u> <del>unless</del> they are consistent with an overall scheme enabling the full development of the resource and do not give rise to unacceptable impact on the environment or to neighbouring occupiers.</p> <p>Restoration 5. All applications for hydrocarbon development should be accompanied by details of how the site would be restored at each stage back to its original use once the development is no longer required. The retention of haul roads and hard standing will only be permitted where there are clear benefits of doing so.</p>	MM
131	Examination Change	66	Our Environment	IN2	169	<p>Wording to update the fact that the whole of Nottingham City is to be designated as an Air Quality Management Area in early 2019. The Policies Map will also need to be updated to remove the existing AQMA as a layer as this will now apply citywide. (If the Inspector agrees that this can be included as a Main Modification. If not agreed, an informative can be included in the Plan on adoption).</p> <p>5.92 Air and water quality are key issues in Nottingham. DEFRA has identified parts of Nottingham as one of seven zones which are projected to exceed limit values in 2020, and urges targeted measures to address this. <del>The whole of Nottingham City was designated as an Air Quality Management Area in 2019 and there are a number of Air Quality Management Areas within the City and the management of air quality within them the City requires all development in the City to have regard to air quality issues, informed by the local Air Quality Management Plan, which is currently under review. The current AQMA's can be viewed on the Policies Map, but these are subject to change in line with the Air Quality Management Plan review.</del> Any development and ancillary activities should not adversely and significantly impact upon air quality, and where possible should include measures for its improvement, in order to ensure compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants. Where an adverse impact is identified, mitigation measures should be implemented, monitored and maintained. <del>When located within or adjacent to an Air Quality Management Area, buildings</del> Buildings should be designed to reduce the exposure of occupants and users to air pollutants.</p> <p>Policy Map also to be updated.</p>	MM
132	Submission Change	SC057	Our Environment	IN2	169	<p>Additional text added to para 5.93 to read:</p> <p><u>"In order to promote air quality issues across the area, the East Midlands Air Quality Network is currently proposing that each authority set out generic simplified guidance for dealing with air quality in a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). It will be aimed at all those involved in the submission and determination of planning applications where air quality needs to be addressed. The impact of development on water quality..."</u></p>	MM
133	Additional Post Submission Change		Our Environment	IN2	169	<p>Change to read "an SPD" for consistency throughout LAPP</p>	MM
134	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC11	Making it Happen	IN4	175	<p>Delete "and related SPDs" from criterion 1a), 1b), 1c), 1d), 1e), and 1f) amending criteria of Policy IN4 to read:</p> <p>a) employment and training in accordance with Policy EE4 <del>and related SPDs</del>; b) open space in accordance with Policy EN2 and EN3 <del>and relevant site allocations and related SPDs</del>; c) drainage and flood protection in accordance with Policy CC3 <del>and relevant site allocations and related SPDs</del>; d) transport in accordance with Policies TR1 and TR2 <del>and relevant site allocations and related SPDs</del>; e) education in accordance with Policy LS5 <del>and related SPDs</del>; and f) affordable housing in accordance with Policy HO3 <del>and relevant site allocations and related SPDs</del>.</p> <p>Add the following to the last sentence of the Justification text at Para 6.7 <u>"Supplementary Planning Documents will be used to provide further guidance and to set the charges that are established by this policy where necessary."</u></p>	MM

135	Examination Change	56	Making it Happen	IN4	175	<p>Policy IN4 amended to read:</p> <p>1. Development will be expected to meet the reasonable costs of new infrastructure or services required as a consequence of the proposal. Where necessary planning obligations will be negotiated to support the following:</p> <p>a) employment and training in accordance with Policy EE4 <del>and related SPDs</del>;</p> <p>b) open space in accordance with Policy EN2 and EN3, relevant site allocations <del>and related SPDs</del>;</p> <p>c) drainage and flood protection in accordance with Policy CC3 relevant site allocations <del>and related SPDs</del>;</p> <p>d) transport in accordance with Policies TR1 and TR2, relevant site allocations <del>and related SPDs</del>;</p> <p>e) <u>community facilities including</u> education in accordance with Policy LS5 <del>and related SPDs</del>; and</p> <p>f) affordable housing in accordance with Policy HO3, relevant site allocations <del>and related SPDs</del>.</p> <p>2. Other planning obligations may be necessary to make developments acceptable in planning terms and may include: <del>sporting, community and cultural facilities, health,</del> heritage enhancement and protection, supporting policing/crime reduction and air quality measures. The scale and nature of direct provision will be identified as a result of the need arising from the development.</p> <p><u>3. If an applicant considers there to be issues of viability due to the level of contributions being sought which render a proposal undeliverable, they will be required to submit robust viability assessments. These will be independently examined before the scale and nature of any reduction is agreed.</u></p>	MM
136	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC41	Making it Happen	IN4	175	<p>Additional criteria added to Policy IN4 to read:</p> <p><u>"3. If an applicant considers there to be issues of viability due to the level of contributions being sought which render a proposal undeliverable, they will be required to submit robust viability assessments. These will be independently examined before the scale and nature of any reduction is agreed."</u></p>	MM
137	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC42	Making it Happen	IN4	175	<p>Insert additional text to Para 6.7 Supplementary Planning Documents will be used <u>"to provide further guidance and to"</u> set the charges...</p>	MM
138	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen		177	<p><b>"Monitoring Target</b>  *signifies also monitored for the Core Strategy"</p>	MM
139	Examination Change	8	Making it Happen		178	<p>Amend table to read;</p> <p><b>Policy</b>  SH1, SH2, SH3, SH4, SH5</p> <p><b>Monitoring Target</b>  Maintain health of Primary Shopping Frontage Area*</p> <p><b>Monitoring Indicator</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> New retail floorspace created</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Vacancy rates</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Proportion of A1 uses within Primary <del>Shopping</del> and Secondary Frontages</li> </ul>	MM

140	Examination Change	59	Making it Happen		178	<p>Amend table to read;</p> <p><b>Policy</b> HO1, HO2</p> <p><b>Monitoring Target</b> Maintain an appropriate mix of house type, size and tenure* <u>Housing delivery to remain in line with Core Strategy requirements*</u></p> <p><b>Monitoring Indicator</b> • Completions by dwelling, type, size and tenure • Number of plots for self-build, custom-build • <u>Number of net new dwellings built each year</u></p> <p><b>Delivery</b> • Core Strategy • Local Development Documents • Development Management decisions • <u>SHLAA</u> • <u>Housing Implementation Strategy/Housing Delivery Test Action Plan</u></p>	MM
141	Examination Change	58	Making it Happen		179	<p>Add to table to read;</p> <p><b>Policy</b> <u>HO7</u></p> <p><b>Monitoring Target</b> <u>Ensure accommodation needs of Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople community is met.</u></p> <p><b>Monitoring Indicator</b> <u>Number of new pitches/plots granted planning permission. Permissions granted for change of use of existing pitches/plots.</u></p> <p><b>Delivery</b> <u>Development Management Decisions</u></p>	MM
142	Examination Change		Making it Happen	SA1	182	<p>Update prefix of all sites and add new Ward names/boundaries</p> <p>On Adoption</p>	MM
143	Submission Change	SC058	Making it Happen	SA1	183	<p>Delete: "PA22 - <del>Western Boulevard Basford</del>" from the list of site allocations.</p>	MM
144	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	SA1	186	<p>Para 6.12a amended to read: "...Based on the <del>2016</del>2018 Housing Land Availability Report the City currently has <del>5.687.34</del> years supply of deliverable sites using the 'Liverpool' approach and <del>5.897.78</del> using the 'Sedgefield' approach. The Housing Land Availability Report (<del>2016</del>2018)..."</p>	MM
145	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	SA1	186	<p>Para 6.12d amended to read: "Between 2011 and <del>2016</del>2018 - <del>3,653,020</del> homes have been completed, leaving a requirement of <del>13,497,11,130</del>. This corresponds with the number anticipated in the Core Strategy up to <del>2016</del>2018 (<del>3,653,350</del>)"</p>	MM

146	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	SA1	187	<p>Replace:</p> <p><del>2011 – 16 Core Strategy Requirement 3,500</del>  <del>2016 – 28 Core Strategy Requirement 13,560</del>  <del>Total Core Strategy Requirement 17,150</del></p> <p>Housing Delivery to 31st March 2016 <del>3,653</del>  <del>Total remaining requirement 2016 – 28 13,497</del>  <del>Allocated in LAPP from 2016 7,942</del>  <del>SHLAA sites below 0.5 hectares 2016 – 28 5,870</del>  <del>Windfalls 2016 – 28 1,935</del>  <del>Demolitions 2016 – 28 934</del>  <del>Potential delivery 2016 – 28 14,813</del>  <del>Total potential delivery over plan period 2011 – 28 18,466</del></p> <p>with:</p> <p><u>2011 – 18 Core Strategy Requirement 5,350</u>  <u>2018 – 28 Core Strategy Requirement 11,800</u>  <u>Total Core Strategy Requirement 17,150</u></p> <p>Housing Delivery to 31st March 2018 <u>6,020</u>  <u>Total remaining requirement 2018 – 28 11,130</u>  <u>Allocated in LAPP from 2018 7,995</u>  <u>SHLAA sites below 0.5 hectares 2018 – 28 4,248</u>  <u>Windfalls 2018 – 28 1,785</u>  <u>Demolitions 2018 – 28 300</u>  <u>Potential delivery 2018 – 28 13,728</u>  <u>Total potential delivery over plan period 2011 – 28 19,748</u></p>	MM
147	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	SA1	187	<p>Para 6.12f amended to read:</p> <p>"The sites allocated in this Local Plan could accommodate some <del>7,942</del> <u>7,995</u> dwellings between <del>2016</del><u>2018</u> and 2028. An additional <del>5,870</del> <u>4,248</u> dwellings are predicted on other SHLAA sites, deliverable by 2028 and <del>1,935</del> <u>1,785</u> dwellings are expected to be built on "windfall sites", the location of which is not yet known. <del>934</del><u>300</u> demolitions are predicted between <del>2016</del><u>2018</u> and 2028."</p>	MM
148	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	SA1	187	<p>From 2011 to 2016<del>8</del> there has been an over-provision of <del>63 670</del> dwellings, <del>4.75</del> <u>12.5%</u> above the Core Strategy requirement for this period. The total potential housing delivery including Local Plan allocations is therefore <del>44,813</del><u>43,728</u> dwellings from 2016<del>8</del>, this represents a potential over-provision of <del>1,316</del> <u>2,598</u> or <del>9.8</del> <u>23.3%</u> of the remaining <del>42,523</del><u>11,130</u> Core Strategy requirement. Therefore taking the plan period as a whole (2011-2028) there is the potential for <del>1,316</del> <u>2,598</u> dwellings above the Core Strategy requirement, or <del>7.7</del> <u>15.1%</u>, which is considered a generous buffer for non-delivery. <u>If the minimum predicted dwellings are developed on each Local Plan site during the plan period (ie 7,344) then there is the potential for 1,947 dwellings above the Core Strategy requirement, or 11.3%, which is also considered a generous buffer for non-delivery.</u></p>	MM
149	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC16	Making it Happen	SA1	188	<p>Take up 2011-16<del>8</del> <del>18,844</del> <u>20,166</u>sqm <del>2.362</del> <u>2.5</u>ha Requirement after deducting take-up 2011-16<del>8</del> <del>227,859</del> <u>226,534</u> <del>22.64</del> <u>22.5</u>ha</p>	MM
150	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC16	Making it Happen	SA1	189	<p>In respect of office floorspace, the Core Strategy figure is 253,000. When Gross Internal Area and completions between 2011 and 2016<del>8</del> are factored in, the requirement to 2028 is <del>227,859</del> <u>226,534</u>. The Local Plan allocations allow for between 182,100 and 290,200, the mid- range for which is 236,150, some <del>8,294</del> <u>9,616</u> above the Core Strategy requirement.</p>	MM
151	Submission Change	SC066	Making it Happen	SA1	190	<p>Amend Para 6.12o to read:</p> <p>"Appendices 3 and 4 detail the <u>indicative</u> Housing and Employment ranges considered."</p>	MM
152	Submission Change	SC067	Making it Happen	PA1	192	<p>All the maps showing each allocation have been updated to improve their quality (e.g. scale, road names and new base map)</p>	MM
153	Submission Change	SC068	Making it Happen	PA1	192	<p>Development Principles Text amended to read:</p> <p>"...Layout to avoid development on areas of greatest flood risk and have regard to potential <u>8m</u> easement requirements adjacent to the River Leen - this also provides an opportunity for the creation of a green infrastructure corridor. <u>Any development or raising land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain...</u>"</p>	MM
154	Submission Change	SC069	Making it Happen	PA3	194	<p>The emerging Revised Playing Pitch Strategy no longer demonstrates a need for a community sports hub at P6, as such the reference to this has been removed from the Development Principles for PA3. Therefore the Development Principles text is deleted to read:</p> <p>"Development of this site is closely linked with the allocation of the Former Padstow School Detached Playing Field at Beckhampton Road for a community sports hub."</p>	MM

155	Examination Change	15	Making it Happen	PA3	194	Amend Development Principles to add after open space:  <u>Due to the proximity of PA3, PA5, PA6, PA8 and PA9 Open Space requirements will be comprehensively coordinated across all these sites.</u> Development should include mitigation measures which result in an overall increase in the quality and ecological value of open space in the area. Appropriate mitigation could consist of improved green corridors; new allotments; improvement of local LWS/LNRs; new equipped play area; creation of additional accessible open space elsewhere.	MM
156	Examination Change	14	Making it Happen	PA4	195	Proposed use on page 195 to be amended to read:  "Employment (B1 and B8), <u>and residential (C3, predominantly family housing),</u> <del>retail (A4).</del> "  Site Area (ha) on page 95 to be amended to read:  <del>4.27</del> <u>0.63</u>	MM
157	Submission Change	SC071	Making it Happen	PA4	195	Development Principles text amended to read:  "Any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment. <u>An 8m easement from the top of the bank may be required given its location adjacent to the River Leen and any development or raising land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain.</u> "	MM
158	Examination Change		Making it Happen	PA4	195	Boundary of site PA4 amended, due to completion of retail element, as agreed by the Inspector at the Examination Hearing Sessions.	MM
159	Submission Change	SC072	Making it Happen	PA5	196	The emerging Revised Playing Pitch Strategy demonstrates no requirement for a community sports hub at PA6, as such the reference to this has been removed from the Development Principles for PA5. Development Principles text therefore amended to read:  <del>"Development of this site is closely linked to the allocation of the Former Padstow School Detached Playing Field at Beckhampton Road for a community sports hub."</del>	MM
160	Examination Change	15	Making it Happen	PA5	196	Amend Development Principles to read;  <u>Due to the proximity of PA3, PA5, PA6, PA8 and PA9 Open Space requirements will be comprehensively coordinated across all these sites.</u> Development should include mitigation measures which result in an overall increase in the quality and ecological value of open space in the area. Appropriate mitigation could consist of improved green corridors; new allotments; improvement of local LWS/LNRs; new equipped play area; creation of additional accessible open space elsewhere.	MM
161	Submission Change	SC074	Making it Happen	PA6	197	Proposed uses amended to read:  <del>"Residential (C3, predominantly family housing) with a proportion of the site retained as open space. Open space including community sports hub with potential for small element of residential (C3, predominantly family housing) depending on final layout."</del>	MM
162	Submission Change	SC075	Making it Happen	PA6	197	The emerging Revised Playing Pitch Strategy no longer demonstrates a need for a community sports hub at P6. Development Principles text therefore amended to read:  <del>"Design, layout and access should be carefully considered to avoid adverse impacts on existing residential properties. Residential development should include publicly accessible on site open space. Layout of site should maximise opportunities to accommodate a range of sporting activities to support local needs. Careful consideration will be required to ensure that ancillary facilities such as changing areas, parking and lighting are well designed and sensitively located to avoid adverse impacts on existing properties. Opportunities to increase quality and ecological value of open space in the area."</del>	MM
163	Examination Change	15	Making it Happen	PA6	197	Amend Development Principles to read;  <u>Due to the proximity of PA3, PA5, PA6, PA8 and PA9 Open Space requirements will be comprehensively coordinated across all these sites.</u> Development should include mitigation measures which result in an overall increase in the quality and ecological value of open space in the area. Appropriate mitigation could consist of improved green corridors; new allotments; improvement of local LWS/LNRs; new equipped play area; creation of additional accessible open space elsewhere.	MM
164	Submission Change	SC077	Making it Happen	PA8	199	The following text is deleted from the Development Principles of PA8: <del>'Development of this site is closely linked with the allocation of the Former Padstow School Detached Playing Field at Beckhampton Road for a community sports hub.'</del>	MM



165	Examination Change	15	Making it Happen	PA8	199	Amend Development Principles to read;  Due to the proximity of PA3, PA5, PA6, PA8 and PA9 Open Space requirements will be comprehensively coordinated across all these sites. Development should include mitigation measures which result in an overall increase in the quality and ecological value of open space in the area. Appropriate mitigation could consist of improved green corridors; new allotments; improvement of local LWS/LNRs; new equipped play area; creation of additional accessible open space elsewhere.	MM
166	Examination Change	15	Making it Happen	PA9	200	Amend Development Principles to read;  <u>Due to the proximity of PA3, PA5, PA6, PA8 and PA9 Open Space requirements will be comprehensively coordinated across all these sites. Development should include mitigation measures which result in an overall increase in the quality and ecological value of open space in the area. Appropriate mitigation could consist of improved green corridors; new allotments; improvement of local LWS/LNRs; new equipped play area; creation of additional accessible open space elsewhere.</u>	MM
167	Submission Change	SC080	Making it Happen	PA9	200	Remove sentence;  <del>Development of this site is closely linked to the allocation of the Former Padstow School Detached Playing Field at Beckhampton Road for a community sports hub.</del>	MM
168	Examination Change	16	Making it Happen	PA11	202	Amend Development Principles to read;  "Profile of the site requires careful consideration of layout and design via masterplanning in close collaboration with the Council to create a successful new community. Significant opportunities to <u>protect the most important habitats and to generally</u> enhance and create habitats both within and beyond the site..."	MM
169	Submission Change	SC081	Making it Happen	PA11	202	Development Principles text amended to read: "No development should take place over the existing culvert and opportunities to open up the culvert should be explored to maximise opportunities for flood risk management and habitat creation. <u>An easement may be required if the watercourse is opened up.</u> "	MM
170	Examination Change	21	Making it Happen	PA16	206	It is proposed to remove the following sites from the LAPP for the reasons given.  PA16 Woodhouse Way – Nottingham Business Park North Development Complete (Ref: 16/01020/PFUL3)	MM
171	Examination Change	21	Making it Happen	PA17	207	It is proposed to remove the following sites from the LAPP for the reasons given.  PA17 Woodhouse Way – Woodhouse Park Development Complete (Ref: 13/01703/POUT)	MM
172	Submission Change	SC082	Making it Happen	PA18	208	Development Principles text amended to read: "An 8 metre strip adjacent to the water course may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management. <u>Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. Given that the River Leen responds rapidly to rainfall, surface water run-off generated by new development should be restricted to greenfield rates.</u> "	MM
173	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	PA21	211	Amend Site Address on Page 211 to read: "Address: Mansfield Road"	MM
174	Examination Change	11	Making it Happen	PA21	211	Amend Development Principles to read;  Development should provide an active frontage to enhance the District Centre. Adequate parking should be ensured as part of development proposals <u>taking into account the needs of the development, car parking provision as a whole for Sherwood, and any proposed parking management measures which make better use of existing spaces.</u> There is a desire to accommodate the existing library service on this site as part of any redevelopment proposal. The site is underlain by a principal aquifer and it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. Within Minerals Safeguarding Area - prior consultation required.	MM
175	Submission Change	SC083	Making it Happen	PA22	212	Site PA22 Western Boulevard deleted	MM
176	Submission Change	SC084	Making it Happen	PA23	213	Development Principles text amended to read: "Site is adjacent to the River Leen LWS and this green corridor should be protected and enhanced using soft landscaping. <u>Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. An 8 metre strip adjacent to the River Leen may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management.</u> "	MM

177	Submission Change	SC085	Making it Happen	PA27	217	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of high flood risk, with a proportion of the site lying in the functional floodplain, and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment. <u>Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. An 8 metre strip adjacent to the River Leen may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management. Floodplain compensation should be provided within the site.</u> "	MM
178	Submission Change	SC086	Making it Happen	PA27	217	Dev principles text amended to read:  "These habitats should be protected, and where possible, enhanced by the development. <u>Proposals for pedestrian and vehicular access/egress to the site should have regard to the NET depot to the northern side of Wilkinson Street. New and improved walking...</u> "	MM
179	Submission Change	SC087	Making it Happen	PA29	218	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within areas of medium and high flood risk, with the main flood risk to the site being due to the overtopping of the railway line. Any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment. An holistic approach to flood risk management, <del>flood plain compensation</del> and mitigation is required with other sites in the Bobbers Mill area with close involvement of the Local Authority and Environment Agency. <u>Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. SuDs should be incorporated within the development to ensure greenfield runoff rates are achieved from a managed surface water drainage scheme.</u> "	MM
180	Submission Change	SC088	Making it Happen	PA30	219	Development Principles text amended to read: "Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. <u>SuD s should be incorporated within the development to ensure greenfield runoff rates are achieved from a managed surface water drainage scheme.</u> -Layout should have regard to potential need for an <u>8m easement</u> adjacent to the River Leen."	MM
181	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	PA34	222	Site area (ha): <del>3.85</del> <u>1.36</u>	MM
182	Examination Change	18	Making it Happen	PA34	222	Amend Development Principles to read;  Access to this site should be from Beechdale Road. The scale and appropriateness of proposals for food retailing will be considered in the context of identified deficiencies/capacity at the time of application. Should a mixed use scheme comprising retail and residential development <del>scheme</del> come forward, it should be sensitively designed to protect amenities of residential occupiers with residential development located away from the busy Western Boulevard. <u>Any scheme exceeding the retail floorspace set out in Appendix 5, or comprising comparison floorspace which is more than ancillary to the convenience floorspace, will be required to undertake a sequential test, and if necessary, an impact assessment.</u> The site is underlain by a principal aquifer and it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. Within Minerals Safeguarding Area - prior notification required but not considered a barrier to development. Outside the site boundary to the south east, a location for a future rail station is safeguarded.	MM
183	Submission Change	SC089	Making it Happen	PA35	223	Proposed used in the Development Principles amended to read: "Residential (C3, predominantly family housing) <del>and community facilities (D4)</del> and open space."	MM
184	Examination Change	17	Making it Happen	PA39	227	Proposed use on page 227 to be amended to read:  "Retail (A1) and/or residential (C3, including family housing)"  Amend Development Principles to read;  "Design, layout and access should be carefully considered to avoid adverse impacts on existing residential properties. Layout and boundary treatment of new residential units should be carefully considered to avoid adverse impact on/from existing adjacent businesses uses. <u>The site is within a CONI and future development should be considered in line with Policy SH7.</u> The site is underlain by a secondary aquifer and it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. Within Mineral Safeguarding Area - prior notification required but not considered a barrier to development. The potential for connection to the District Heating System should be explored."	MM
185	Examination Change	21	Making it Happen	PA40	228	It is proposed to remove the following site from the LAPP for the reasons given.  PA40 Daleside Road – Former Colwick Service Station Development Complete (Ref: 14/03073/PFUL3)	MM

186	Submission Change	SC090	Making it Happen	PA43	231	Development Principles text amended to read: "Part of site is in an area of high flood risk and a site specific Flood Risk Assessment should accompany any planning application with particular consideration to safe access and egress. <u>Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain (adjacent to Faraday Road) will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. Flood mitigation measures should include finished floor levels of 600mm above the 1 in 100 year plus climate change flood level and SuDs should ensure that greenfield runoff rates are achieved from a managed surface water drainage scheme.</u> "	MM
187	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	PA45	233	Correct PA45 Prospect Place site area: <del>4.85</del> <u>0.5</u>	MM
188	Submission Change	SC091	Making it Happen	PA46	234	Development Principles text amended to read: "The River Leen runs in a culvert through the <u>north western part of this site</u> and the alignment should be established and the opportunity should be taken to open up the watercourse. An <u>8m</u> easement for river and flood risk management adjacent to the Leen may be required and this provides opportunities to improve the River Leen LWS and create a green corridor which could also deliver improved walking and cycling links."	MM
189	Submission Change	SC092	Making it Happen	PA47	235	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <u>to include consideration of the effects of the development on downstream users. An 8m strip adjacent to the River Leen may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management.</u> "	MM
190	Submission Change	SC093	Making it Happen	PA49	236	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within areas of medium and high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment which considers overtopping and breach of <u>the River Trent flood defences. Also, the River Leen flows from west to east, to the south of the site and the risk of flooding from this source should be considered.</u> "	MM
191	Submission Change	SC094	Making it Happen	PA50	237	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within areas of medium and high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment which considers overtopping and breach of flood defences. <u>An 8m strip adjacent to the River Leen may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management.</u> "	MM
192	Submission Change	SC095	Making it Happen	PA52	238	Development Principles amended to read;  "Site is part of an Enterprise Zone. Scope for a range of research and development uses including bioscience/medical/health related research and development. Innovative design required in line with other recent development on the Science and Technology Park. "	MM
193	Submission Change	SC096	Making it Happen	PA52	238	Add reference to the proposed cycle link from University Boulevard and Boots which runs through this site. Development Principles text amended to read:  "The new tram line (NET Phase Two) runs adjacent this site and <u>there is a proposed pedestrian/cycle link from University Boulevard to PA54 Boots running adjacent to the site which incorporates a bridge over the railway line. Any proposal will need to take this these into account.</u> "	MM
194	Submission Change	SC097	Making it Happen	PA52	238	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of medium flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <u>to include the risk of flooding from Tottle Brook.</u> "	MM
195	Submission Change	SC098	Making it Happen	PA53	239	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of medium flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment, having regard to the impact of the adjacent Tottle Brook which runs in a culvert below the roundabout <u>as well as breach of the River Trent flood defences.</u>	MM
196	Submission Change	SC099	Making it Happen	PA54	240	Add reference to the proposed cycle link from University Boulevard and Boots which runs through this site;  "There are opportunities for enhanced cycling and walking routes to and through the site <u>including a proposed pedestrian/cycle link from University Boulevard to the site running through the site which incorporates a bridge over the railway line.</u> "	MM
197	Submission Change	SC100	Making it Happen	PA54	240	Text amended to read; Site is within areas of medium and high flood risk, any planning applications should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessments which consider breaches/overtopping of flood defences <u>and incorporate a site layout that does not increase flood risk on and off site.</u> A transport assessment is required in line with Appendix B of the Core Strategy. Underlain by a secondary aquifer, development should not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. Combined heat and power plant on site, careful consideration <u>is required that the redevelopment of this site does not lead to of air quality issues for any new sensitive receptors is required.</u>	MM
198	Submission Change	SC101	Making it Happen	PA55	241	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment with <u>development proposals</u> located in areas of lowest flood risk. The site is <u>also</u> underlain by a secondary aquifer and <u>this will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment to it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource.</u> "	MM
199	Submission Change	SC102	Making it Happen	PA56	242	Development Principles text amended to read: "The majority of the site falls within a number of modelled flood outlines for the Fairham Brook which will need to be considered in the flood risk assessment. <u>Due to the location and vulnerability of the development the flood risk assessment should consider the Higer Central and Upper allowances for climate change scenarios which may require additional modeling if the data is not currently available.</u> The site is underlain by a secondary aquifer and it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. <u>This will require an environmental assessment.</u> "	MM

200	Submission Change	SC103	Making it Happen	PA59	245	The Development Principles text amended to read: "There are opportunities to the south <u>and east</u> of the site for provision of improved publicly accessible green space and biodiversity with careful consideration to the character of the existing landscape."	MM
201	Submission Change	SC104	Making it Happen	PA59	245	Development Principles text amended to read: " <u>Given the location adjacent to Fairham Brook, an 8m strip from the top of the bank may need to be kept free of obstruction for essential maintenance and flood risk management. The north east of the site falls within a number of modelled flood outlines for the Fairham Brook which will need to be considered in a flood risk assessment. Due to the location and vulnerability of the development it is required that the flood risk assessment considers the Higher Central (30%) and Upper (50%) allowances for climate change scenarios. This may require additional modelling if the data is not currently available. Any development or raising of land levels within the floodplain will need to be compensated for by the lowering of an equivalent area and volume of land that is currently outside, but adjacent to, the floodplain. The layout and design should have regard to the presence of two existing residential properties within the site boundary.</u> "	MM
202	Submission Change	SC105	Making it Happen	PA60	246	Development Principles text amended to read: "This is an important and prominent retail destination and development should incorporate high quality building design and public realm with opportunities for enhanced connections - particularly east west connections to connect Cairns Street and Union Road <u>and north/south connections to intu Broadmarsh.</u> "	MM
203	Submission Change	SC106	Making it Happen	PA66	251	Development Principles text amended to read: "Development proposals should also seek to enhance the setting of buildings within the site to the south of Isabella Street, <u>and have regard to the amenity of residential properties on Castle Gate.</u> "	MM
204	Submission Change	SC107	Making it Happen	PA67	252	Name of allocation amended to read; "PA67 intu Broadmarsh Centre <u>and surrounding area</u> "	MM
205	Submission Change	SC108	Making it Happen	PA67	252	PA67 'Proposed use' amended to read: "Primarily retail (A1), leisure (D2), food & drink (A3), offices (B1a), <u>hotel (C1), education (D1)...</u> "	MM
206	Submission Change	SC109	Making it Happen	PA67	252	Development Principles text amended to read: "Proposals should introduce more traditional street patterns with active frontages - improving north/south and east/west pedestrian linkages and high quality connections to <u>intu Victoria Centre, the Canal...</u> "	MM
207	Submission Change	SC110	Making it Happen	PA69	254	Development Principles text amended to read: "Within an area of high flood risk, any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific flood risk assessment which considers overtopping/breach of existing River Trent <u>flood defences.</u> "	MM
208	Submission Change	SC111	Making it Happen	PA71	256	Development Principles text amended to read: " <del>Potential for improvements to green corridors and biodiversity enhancements to Tinkers Leen to northern boundary.</del> Immediate potential for low carbon energy via connection to the District Heating System. Within an area of high flood risk, any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific flood risk assessment. <u>Tinkers Leen is a culverted ordinary watercourse running along the northern boundary of this site. There should be no development on top of the culvert and opportunities should be explored to open up the watercourse to provide a green corridor with associated amenity and wildlife benefits. Opening up the culvert may require an easement.</u> "	MM
209	Submission Change	SC112	Making it Happen	PA72	257	Development Principles text amended to read: "Single storey warehouse style development is not considered appropriate in this location. Development should be of a high quality design that positively addresses prominent frontages, takes account of nearby housing south of Waterway Street and complements neighbouring business operations which add to the distinctiveness of the area (such as the brewery facility on Queens Bridge Road). <u>Tinkers Leen is a culverted ordinary watercourse running along the northern boundary of this site. There should be no development on top of the culvert and opportunities should be explored to open up the watercourse to provide a green corridor with associated amenity and wildlife benefits. Opening up the culvert may require an easement.</u> "	MM
210	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	PA73	258	Site boundary to be extended in its southeast corner where Meadows Way and Arkwright Street meet (to take into account application 18/00131/PFUL3	MM

211	Examination Change	20	Making it Happen	PA77	262	Site Area (ha) on page 262 to be amended to read: <del>4.15</del> <u>4.71</u> .  Amend Development Principles to read:  "Layout and design should exploit the canal side location, opening up access to the tow path. Grade II Listed Buildings on site should be preserved or enhanced. Early consideration of archaeological interest along canal route required. A mix of uses are acceptable and there may be potential for energy related uses or transport/ depot/fleet services which are complementary to the existing uses and EfW plant but which provide a buffer and help to manage the transition from the industrial character of the EfW plant to the more active commercial character of the City Centre and reflect the wider regeneration aspirations for the area. As the site is in close proximity to the EfW facility, dispersion modelling may be needed dependent on scale of development / proximity to the chimney. Close to an AQMA - proposals should aim to improve or ensure no further deterioration in air quality. The Tinkers Leen runs in a culvert through the site, upon which no development should take place. If possible this should be opened up to improve its ecological value. There is potential for improved cycling and walking connections from the canal through to the <u>site to the wider</u> Waterside area. The site is within an area of high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment which should <del>include</del> consider <u>the interaction</u> of the Nottingham Canal and the potential to raise the access, with flood compensation works to and from the site. The site is underlain by a principal aquifer and it should be ensured that development does not result in pollution of the groundwater resource. Within Minerals Safeguarding Area - requires prior notification but not considered a barrier to development. Opportunities for low carbon energy via the District Heating System should be explored. Development should have regard to planned highway improvements near the site as part of Turning Point South (TR2.4) and Cattle Market Road Highway Planning Line (TR2.17) and NET safeguarding to the south of the site."	MM
212	Submission Change	SC114	Making it Happen	PA78	263	Development Principles text amended to read: "Site specific Flood Risk Assessment required <u>which should carefully consider the interaction of the canal.</u> "	MM
213	Examination Change	21	Making it Happen	PA78	263	It is proposed to remove the following sites from the LAPP for the reasons given.  PA78 Waterside – London Road, South of Eastcroft Depot Extant planning permission to part of site – remainder proposed to be merged into PA77	MM
214	Submission Change	SC115	Making it Happen	PA79	264	Development Principles text amended to read: "The site is within an area of high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment which <u>should also</u> considers the <u>interaction of the Nottingham Canal.</u> "	MM
215	Submission Change	SC116	Making it Happen	PA81	266	Development Principles text amended to read: "This includes an 8 metre easement <u>if required</u> . Existing bank-side habitats to be retained and accessible riverside green corridor created providing wildlife and community value. Site is close to an AQMA and in close proximity to waste and heat station facilities. Dispersal modelling may be required dependent on scale/height of development and proposals should aim to improve or ensure no further deterioration in air quality. The site is within an area of high flood risk and any planning application should be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <u>which should consider the interaction of the Nottingham Canal</u> . No development to take place above culverted Tinkers Leen that runs through the site <u>and opportunities should be taken to open up the watercourse which could provide a green corridor with associated amenity and wildlife benefits</u> . Opening up the culvert may require an easement."	MM
216	Submission Change	SC117	Making it Happen	PA82	267	Development Principles text amended to read: "This <u>will also form</u> <del>includes</del> an 8 metre easement <u>if required</u> ."	MM
217	Submission Change	SC118	Making it Happen	PA82	267	Development Principles amended for PA82 to read:  "...Development proposals will be expected to have regard to the need to relocate existing businesses where necessary and to minimise disruption through sensitive development phasing <u>and shall include adequate mitigation, where this is required, to avoid adverse impacts on new occupiers and existing businesses</u> . Existing bank-side habitats ..."	MM
218	Submission Change	SC119	Making it Happen	PA83	268	Development Principles text amended to read: " <u>This will also form an 8 metre easement if required</u> . <del>This includes an 8 metre easement.</del> "	MM
219	Submission Change	SC120	Making it Happen	PA83	268	Development Principles amended for PA83 to read:  "...Development proposals will be expected to have regard to the need to relocate existing businesses where necessary and to minimise disruption through sensitive development phasing <u>and shall include adequate mitigation, where this is required, to avoid adverse impacts on new occupiers and existing businesses</u> . Existing bank-side habitats ..."	MM
220	Submission Change	SC121	Making it Happen	PA85	269	The site area for the site has been amended following a boundary change to the site. Text to read:  "Site Area (ha): <del>2.47</del> <u>2.26</u> "	MM
221	Submission Change	SC122	Making it Happen	PA85	269	Development Principles text amended to read: " <u>This will also form an 8 metre easement if required</u> . <del>This includes an 8 metre easement.</del> "	MM

222	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen	PA85	269	Delete black underline from; resource. Within Mineral	OM
223	Submission Change	SC123	Making it Happen	PA85	270	PA85 site allocation boundary amended.	MM
224	Examination Change	40	Glossary		273	Change Affordable Housing definition to read; Affordable Housing - <del>Social rented.....</del>  "housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and/or is for essential local workers); and which complies with one or more of the following definitions: a) Affordable housing for rent: meets all of the following conditions: (a) the rent is set in accordance with the Government's rent policy for Social Rent or Affordable Rent, or is at least 20% below local market rents (including service charges where applicable); (b) the landlord is a registered provider, except where it is included as part of a Build to Rent scheme (in which case the landlord need not be a registered provider); and (c) it includes provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision. For Build to Rent schemes affordable housing for rent is expected to be the normal form of affordable housing provision (and, in this context, is known as Affordable Private Rent). b) Starter homes: is as specified in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any secondary legislation made under these sections. The definition of a starter home should reflect the meaning set out in statute and any such secondary legislation at the time of plan-preparation or decision-making. Where secondary legislation has the effect of limiting a household's eligibility to purchase a starter home to those with a particular maximum level of household income, those restrictions should be used. c) Discounted market sales housing: is that sold at a discount of at least 20% below local market value. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Provisions should be in place to ensure housing remains at a discount for future eligible households. d) Other affordable routes to home ownership: is housing provided for sale that provides a route to ownership for those who could not achieve home ownership through the market. It includes shared ownership, relevant equity loans, other low cost homes for sale (at a price equivalent to at least 20% below local market value) and rent to buy (which includes a period of intermediate rent). Where public grant funding is provided, there should be provisions for the homes to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for any receipts to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision, or refunded to Government or the relevant authority specified in the funding agreement."	MM
225	Submission Change	SC124	Glossary		274	Definition changed for "Authority Monitoring Report (AMR)" to same definition as in the Core Strategy. Term to read; "Part of the Local Plan. A report assessing progress with, and the effectiveness of, the Local Plan. A report produced by local planning authorities assessing progress with and the effectiveness of the Local Plan."	MM
226	Submission Change	SC125	Glossary		276	Additional definition of "Derby, Derbyshire, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Local Enterprise Partnership (D2N2)" to follow the same as definition in the Core Strategy. Term to read;  "Derby, Derbyshire, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Local Enterprise Partnership (D2N2) – The Local Enterprise Partnership that covers Greater Nottingham as well as the administrative areas of Derby, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire. See also Local Enterprise Partnership."	MM
227	Submission Change	SC126	Glossary		278	"Housing Market Area (HMA)" definition replaced as follows:  "The area covered by the Aligned Core Strategy. The area includes the whole council areas of Broxtowe, Erewash, Gedling, Nottingham City and Rushcliffe, together with the Hucknall part of Ashfield."  with  "Geographical area defined by household demand and preferences for housing. They reflect the key functional linkages between places where people live and work. For Greater Nottingham the area is covered by the individual Aligned Core Strategies for the whole council areas of Broxtowe, Erewash, Gedling, Nottingham City and Rushcliffe and is known as the Nottingham Core HMA. The Hucknall part of Ashfield has strong links to the Nottingham Core HMA but falls within the Nottingham Outer Housing Market Area."	MM
228	Submission Change	SC127	Glossary		278	Definition for "Infrastructure Delivery Plan" changed to read; "Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) - As part of the work on the emerging Local Plan an Infrastructure Delivery Plan is being produced. Sets out the range of infrastructure required to support the Local Plan planning policies. It details when infrastructure is needed and how it will be funded and delivered."	MM
229	Submission Change	SC128	Glossary		278	Definition for "Issues and Options" deleted "Issues and Options – An informal early stage of Development Plan Document preparation, aimed at engaging the public and stakeholders in formulating the main issues that the DPD should address, and the options available to deal with those issues."	MM

230	Submission Change	SC129	Glossary		279	Glossary term for "Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP)" amended to read the same as the Core Strategy. Term to read; "A body, designated by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, established for the purpose of creating or improving the conditions for economic growth in the an area. D2N2 has been formed which covers the administrative geographical areas of Derby City, Derbyshire County Council, Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire County Council."	MM
231	Submission Change	SC130	Glossary		279	Definition for "Local Plan" amended to read; "The plan for the future development of the local area, drawn up by the local planning authority in consultation with the community. In law this is described as the development plan documents adopted under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Current <del>ere</del> <u>Core strategies</u> <u>Strategies</u> or other planning policies, which under the regulations would be considered to be development plan documents, form part of the Local Plan. <del>The term includes old policies which have been saved under the 2004 Act. The Nottingham Local Plan (adopted November 2005) is the current Statutory Local Plan for the City of Nottingham and provides the basis for decisions related to land use planning.</del> "	MM
232	Submission Change	SC131	Glossary		281	Definition for "Neighbourhood Plan" changed to read; " <del>Neighbourhood Plans – A plan prepared by a Parish Council or Neighbourhood Forum for a particular neighbourhood area (made under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004). A plan prepared by a Parish Council or Neighbourhood forum for a particular neighbourhood area. The government introduced the community right to do neighbourhood planning through the Localism Act.</del> "	MM
233	Submission Change	SC132	Glossary		281	Glossary term "Notable Speices" amended to read "Notable Speices - this term is used to collectively cover species of various conservation status' or levels of legal protection. It encompasses those that receive statutory protection, including those listed on Schedule 2 and 5 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations <del>2010</del> 2017, Schedule 5 and 8 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended, and Protection of Badgers Act 1992. Species listed as being of 'Principal Importance' under Section 41 of Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2004 and those listed as Priority Species <u>or</u> <u>Species of Conservation Concern</u> under the Local Biodiversity Action Plan. It also encompasses Birds of Conservation Concern Red and Amber List, as well as those listed on UK Red Lists/Red Data Book as Nationally Scarce and Nationally Rare."	MM
234	Submission Change	SC133	Glossary		282	Amend definition for "PEDL" to read:  " <del>PEDL</del> <u>Petroleum Exploration and Development Licence (PEDL) - Allows the holder to explore for and develop unconventional hydrocarbons subject to access rights.</u> "	MM
235	Submission Change	SC134	Glossary		282	Glossary term "Priority Habitats and Species" amended to read:  "Species and Habitats of Principle Importance included in the England Biodiversity List published by the Secretary of State under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 and those listed under the Local Biodiversity Action Plan."	MM
236	Submission Change	SC135	Glossary		282	Definition of "Regeneration Zone" amend to read; "Regeneration Zone – <del>Area designated in the Land and Planning Policies Document characterised by an under use of land, generally poor environment and poor linkages. The City Centre Time and Place Plan and Part 2 Local Plan replaces City Centre regeneration zones with City Centre 'quarters'. Area referred to in the Core Strategy, characterised by an under use of land, generally poor environment and poor linkages. The City Centre Time and Place Plan and the LAPP replaces City Centre regeneration zones with City Centre 'quarters' and the Waterside area.</del> "	MM
237	Submission Change	SC136	Glossary		286	Definition changed for "Vitality (of a Retail Centre)" to read; "A measure of a <del>centres activity and attractiveness.</del> <u>how active and buoyant a centre is.</u> "	MM
238	Submission Change	SC137	Appendix 2	TR2.3	299	(Delete 'TR2.3 Ring Road Major Phase 2' and associated para A2.5) "TR2.3 Ring Road Major Phase 2 – <del>A2.5 – Phase 1 of the Ring Road Major Scheme was completed in March 2016. Improvement works proposed through Phase 2 will include; Basford Bridge widening, capacity improvements between Western Boulevard Slip Road and Nottingham Road, and upgrading of Edwards Lane roundabout. This will improve the Ring Road accessibility corridor which serves major local employers including the Queens Medical Centre and City Hospital and also provides linkages to the Strategic Route Network.</del> Status/Delivery Timescales: The scheme is currently unfunded, but is protected in anticipation of any future funding. The scheme is subject to a detailed business case."	MM
239	Submission Change	SC138	Appendix 3	PA1	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA01 Bestwood Road - Former Bestwood Day Centre Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>30</del> <u>48</u> , Maximum: <del>50</del> <u>48</u> , Midpoint: <del>40</del> <u>48</u> "	MM
240	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA3	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA03 Eastglade, Top Valley - Former Eastglade School Site Birkdale Way <del>44</del> <u>64</u> <del>44</del> <u>64</u> <del>44</del> <u>64</u>	MM

241	Submission Change	SC139	Appendix 3	PA5	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA05 Ridgeway - Former Padstow School Playing Field Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>90</del> <u>60</u> , Maximum: <del>140</del> <u>70</u> , Midpoint: <del>100</del> <u>65</u> "	MM
242	Submission Change	SC140	Appendix 3	PA6	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA06 Beckhampton Rd - Former Padstow School Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>0</del> <u>80</u> , Maximum: <del>25</del> <u>90</u> , Midpoint: <del>12</del> <u>85</u> "	MM
243	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA9	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA09 Edwards Lane - Former Haywood School Detached Playing Field Edwards Lane <del>85</del> <u>100</u> <del>115</del> <u>100</u> 100	MM
244	Submission Change	SC141	Appendix 3	PA10	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA10 Piccadilly - Former Henry Mellish School Playing Field Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>30</del> <u>45</u> , Maximum: <del>38</del> <u>55</u> , Midpoint: <del>34</del> <u>50</u> "	MM
245	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA11	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA11** Stanton Tip - Hempshill Vale Hempshill Vale <del>500</del> <u>350</u> <del>500</del> <u>350</u> <del>500</del> <u>350</u>	MM
246	Submission Change	SC142	Appendix 3	PA12	307	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA12 Highbury Road - Former Henry Mellish School Site Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>20</del> <u>34</u> , Maximum: <del>25</del> <u>38</u> , Midpoint: <del>22</del> <u>36</u> "	MM
247	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA15	308	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA15 *** Bulwell Lane - Former Coach Depot Land off Bulwell Lane <del>32</del> <u>24</u> <del>32</del> <u>24</u> <del>32</del> <u>24</u>	MM
248	Examination Change	21	Appendix 3	PA17	308	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA17 Delete and footnote: PA17 **Woodhouse Way - Woodhouse Park Land off Woodhouse Way 214-214-214	MM
249	Submission Change	SC144	Appendix 3	PA22	308	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA22 Western Boulevard Western Boulevard <del>47</del> <u>63</u> <del>55</del> "	MM
250	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA24	308	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA24 College Way - Melbury School Playing Field College Way <del>40</del> <u>55</u> <del>50</del> <u>55</u> <del>45</del> <u>55</u>	MM
251	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA26	308	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA26 Denewood Crescent - Denewood Centre Denewood Crescent <del>90</del> <u>100</u> 120 <del>105</del> <u>110</u>	MM
252	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA33	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA33 Chalfont Drive - Former Government Buildings Housing numbers amended to read: PA33 ****Chalfont... Minimum: <del>475</del> <u>324</u> , Maximum: <del>475</del> <u>324</u> , Midpoint: <del>475</del> <u>324</u> "	MM
253	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA35	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA35 Woodyard Lane - Siemens Lambourne Drive <del>80</del> <u>110</u> <del>100</del> <u>110</u> <del>90</del> <u>110</u>	MM



254	Examination Change	21	Appendix 3	PA40	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA40: Delete: <del>Daleside Road – Former Colwick Service Station Daleside Road 16 16 16</del>	MM
255	Submission Change	SC146	Appendix 3	PA41	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA41 Alfreton Road - Forest Mill Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>290</del> <u>310</u> , Maximum:310, Midpoint: <del>300</del> <u>310</u> "	MM
256	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA42	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA42 Ilkeston Road - Radford Mill Garden Street/ Ilkeston Road <del>314</del> <u>335</u> <del>314</del> <u>335</u> <del>314</del> <u>335</u>	MM
257	Submission Change	SC147	Appendix 3	PA43	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA43 Salisbury Street Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>22</del> <u>21</u> , Maximum: <del>22</del> <u>21</u> , Midpoint: <del>22</del> <u>21</u> "	MM
258	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA44	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA44 Derby Road - Sandfield Centre Derby Road <del>70</del> <u>90</u> 100 <del>85</del> <u>95</u>	MM
259	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC16	Appendix 3	PA45	309	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA45 Prospect Place Prospect Place <del>35</del> <u>20</u> <del>50</del> <u>25</u> <del>42</del> <u>23</u>	MM
260	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA55	310	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA55 Ruddington Lane - Rear of 107-127 Ruddington Lane <del>46</del> <u>20</u> <del>24</del> <u>20</u> 20	MM
261	Examination Change	13	Appendix 3	PA57	310	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA57 Clifton West Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>260</del> <u>245</u> , Maximum: <del>285</del> <u>265</u> , Midpoint: <del>273</del> <u>255</u> "	MM
262	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA62	310	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA62 Creative Quarter - Brook Street East Brook Street East <del>30</del> <u>43</u> <del>50</del> <u>43</u> <del>40</del> <u>43</u>	MM
263	Submission Change	SC150	Appendix 3	PA64	310	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA64 Creative Quarter - Sneinton Market Housing numbers amended to read: Minimum: <del>20</del> <u>100</u> , Maximum: <del>30</del> <u>120</u> , Midpoint: <del>25</del> <u>110</u> "	MM
264	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA69	311	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA69 Canal Quarter - Station Street/ Carrington Street Station Street/ Carrington Street <del>45</del> <u>319</u> <del>55</del> <u>319</u> <del>50</del> <u>319</u>	MM
265	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA72	311	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA72 Canal Quarter - Waterway Street Traffic Street <del>75</del> <u>170</u> <del>125</del> <u>170</u> <del>100</del> <u>170</u>	MM
266	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA73	311	Table A3.1 amended to read: PA73 Canal Quarter - Sheriffs Way/ Arkwright Street Meadows Way <del>100</del> <u>305</u> <del>150</del> <u>305</u> <del>125</del> <u>305</u>	MM
267	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA82	311	Table A3.1 amended to read: Two additional asterice; PA82 ***** Waterside - Freeth Street Meadow Lane <del>150</del> <u>100</u> <del>250</del> <u>200</u> <del>200</del> <u>150</u>	MM
268	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA83	311	Table A3.1 amended to read: "PA83 Waterside - Daleside Road, Trent Lane Basin Housing numbers amended to read PA83 *****Waterside... Minimum: <del>300</del> <u>256</u> , Maximum: <del>340</del> <u>296</u> , Midpoint: <del>320</del> <u>276</u> "	MM
269	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3		311	Table A3.1 amended to read: Housing numbers amended to read: "Minimum: <del>7,1367</del> <u>344</u> , Maximum: <del>8,7528</del> <u>647</u> , Midpoint: <del>7,9427</del> <u>995</u> "	MM

270	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC15	Appendix 3	PA11	312	PA11 **Stanton Tip - Hempshill Vale This site is anticipated to deliver approximately 500 dwellings in the longer term, however, 350 dwellings are expected to be developed within the Plan period, to take account of potential complex site assembly.	MM
271	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA15	312	PA15*** Bulwell Lane - Former Coach Depot The site has planning permission for 32 dwellings of which 8 were completed 2017/18 leaving 24 to be delivered	MM
272	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA33	312	PA33**** Chalfont Drive - Former Government Buildings This site has planning permission for 475 dwellings of which 42 151 were completed 2016/178 leaving 433 324 to be delivered	MM
273	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA82	312	PA82 *****Waterside – Freeth Street This site is anticipated to deliver between 350 and 420 dwellings in the longer term, however, between 450 100 and 250 200 dwellings are expected to be developed within the Plan period with a mid-point of 200 150, to take account of potential complex site assembly.	MM
274	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3	PA83	312	PA83***** Waterside - Daleside Road, Trent Lane Basin There were 44 completions on this site 2016/17 leaving 256-296 to be completed	MM
275	Additional Post Submission Change		Appendix 3		312	Table A3.2 amended to read: "Past Completions 2011- <del>16</del> 18 3,6536,020 Waterside 4,1701,076 Boots Campus 230 Stanton Tip <del>500</del> 350 Other LAPP Sites 6,0426,339 Other sites deliverable by 2028 (taken from the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment) 5,8704,248 Windfall Allowance -4,9351,785 Demolitions -934-300 Housing provision in Nottingham 2011-2028 48,46619,748"	MM
276	Examination Change	4	Appendix 3		312	Replace This trajectory has been updated since the adopted Aligned Core Strategy, as a result of changes to predicted numbers on sites, windfalls and demolition expectations and should be used in preference to it. With "Trajectory of Housing Delivery The trajectory has been updated since the adopted Aligned Core Strategy, as a result of changes to predicted numbers on sites, windfalls and demolition expectations and should be used in preference to it. The trajectory shows anticipated housing delivery in Nottingham City until 2028. It is based on information collated in November 2018, and is updated annually in the City Council's Housing Land Availability Report. As trajectory information can change quickly, depending on whether or not sites come forward for development as anticipated, it is the latest version in the Housing Land Availability Report that should be referred to, which can be found on the City Council's website."  Chart and table to be added plotting latest trajectory figures	MM
277	Submission Change	SC159	Appendix 4		315	Para A4.4 amended to read: "...but is still until recently was...."	MM
278	Proposed Post Submission Change	PPSC16	Appendix 4		315	Since 2011 48,844 20,166sqm have been developed for offices leaving a remainder of 227,859 226,534sqm to allocate. Since 2011 2.36 2.5 hectares have been developed for industry & warehousing leaving a remainder of 22.64 22.5 hectares to allocate.	MM

279	Examination Change	20	Appendix 4	PA77	317	Table A4.1 Anticipated Office or Research & Development Sites, Page 316 to be amended to read:  PA77 Waterside – London Road, Eastcroft Depot London Road @ <u>1,000</u> <del>5,000</del> <u>6,000</u> <del>2,500</del> <u>3,500</u>  PA78 Waterside— London Road, South of Eastcroft Depot London Road <u>1,000</u> <del>1,000</del> <u>1,000</u>	MM
280	Submission Change	SC160	Appendix 4	PA22	319	Table amended to read: "PA22 Western Boulevard Western Boulevard"	MM
281	Examination Change	14	Appendix 5	PA4	321	Table A5.1 Anticipated Retail Floorspace Delivery on Site Allocations, Page 321 to be amended to read:  PA4      Linby Street/Filey Street      Main Street      @      1,000	MM
282	Examination Change	18	Appendix 5	PA34	322	Footnote added to Table A5.1 to read:  <u>* For PA34: Beechdale Road - Beechdale Baths: In line with the site allocation, future retail floorspace referred to in this table is limited to retail convenience store (A1) only. Any proposals for other town centre uses on this site will be subject to the sequential test and impact assessment. For PA68 Canal Quarter - Island Site, any scheme exceeding the retail floorspace set out above will be required to undertake a sequential test, and if necessary, an impact assessment.</u>	MM
283	Submission Change	SC162	Appendix 6		326	Map showing the proportion of households which are either student households or HMOs replaced.	MM
284	Submission Change	SC003	Headers			Updated to read: "Submission Version March 2018"	MM
285	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map			Amendment to Policies Map  Update to Ancient Woodland Designation to be added to Policies Map	MM
286	Additional Post Submission Change		Making it Happen			Update Ward titles at Development Principles to reflect new ward boundaries	OM
287	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	LGS		Add review of Local Geological sites	MM
288	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	LWS		Add review of Local Wildlife sites	MM
289	Examination Change	65	Policies Map	AW		Policies Map to be amended to include new Ancient Woodland at Clifton West	MM
290	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Addition Bilberry Walk Open Space	MM
291	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Addition Harrow Road Open Space	MM
292	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Addition Shakespeare Villas Open Space	MM

293	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Addition St Leo's Green Open Space	MM
294	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Amendment to Colwick Woods Open Space	MM
295	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Amendment to Sneinton Walkway 2 Open Space	MM
296	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Amendment to Sycamore Recreation Ground	MM
297	Additional Post Submission Change		Policies Map	OSN		Amendment to Radford Bridge Allotments Open Space reflecting planning permission	MM

DRAFT